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$P R O C E E D N G S$
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going on the record at 11:07 a.m. on Thursday, July 27, 2023. This is media unit 1 of the videorecorded deposition of Fathi Yusuf taken by counsel in the matter of Hamad, et al. vs. Yusuf, et al.

My name is Ben Pelta-Heller representing Veritext, and I am the videographer.

The court reporter is Shondra Dawson from the firm Veritext.

Counsel and all present including remotely will now state their appearances and affiliations for the record, and the reporter please swear in the witness.

MR. HARTMANN: Carl Hartmann for Hisham Hamed.

MR. HOLT: Joel Holt for Sixteen Plus.
MS. PERRELL: Charlotte Perrell on behalf of Fathi Yusuf.

MR. HERPEL: Stefan Herpel, seated next to Mr. Yusuf, on behalf of Mr. Yusuf and --

MR. HOLT: Just excuse me for one
minute. I have a prompt on the screen that says set up professional audio in audio settings. Is that something we should do?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No.
MR. HOLT: Okay. Then $I$ wonder if $I$ can get rid of that. Just click on it maybe? Yeah. Close it out. Okay.

MR. HARTMANN: And, Stefan, if you could -- yeah. If you could stay moved over so you're out of the screen? Okay.

MR. HERPEL: Am I out now?
MR. HARTMANN: You're out now.
MR. HERPEL: Okay.
MR. HYMES: James Hymes for Manal Yusuf, Isam Yusuf, and Jamil Yusuf.

THE REPORTER: Thank you and good morning. Again my name is Shondra Dawson; I am the reporter assigned by Veritext to take the record of this proceeding.

I am a notary authorized to take acknowledgments and administer oaths in the District of Columbia. Parties agree that $I$ will swear in the
witness remotely.
Parties agree that $I$ will swear in the witness remotely outside of his or her presence. Additionally, absent an objection on the record before the witness is sworn, all parties and the witness understand and agree that any certified transcript produced from the recording of this proceeding:

- is intended for all uses permitted under applicable procedural and evidentiary rules and laws in the same manner as a deposition recorded by stenographic means; and
- shall constitute written stipulation of such.

Mr. Yusuf, it is only a required stipulation, so hearing no objection I will now swear in the witness. If you'll please raise your right hand, Mr. Yusuf?

WHEREUPON,
FATHI YUSUF,
called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE REPORTER: Thank you. You may proceed, Mr. Hartmann.

EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Good morning, Mr. Yusuf.
A Good morning, Mr. Hartmann.
Q Before you and I start we're going to do just a little business on the record, so if you could please wait patiently for a second?

MR. HARTMANN: I'd like to note that counsel for Fathi Yusuf and Hisham Hamed have stipulated that counsel for Hasham Hamed has agreed he will not ask Fathi Yusuf questions with regard to subjects as to which he has asserted the fifth amendment right against self-incrimination and questions relating to those subjects are preserved for continuance of this deposition until after the
determination of Hamed's pending motions to compel. This does not increase the total time for the deposition under these rules.

I'd also like to note that after the stipulation was entered into counsel for Mr. Yusuf contacted me and in response to a proffered list of the questions that $I$ would have asked but for that stipulation suggested that some of the questions he felt could -- this is attorney Herpel -- he felt could still be answered without stepping into the fifth amendment areas. So what we've agreed to do is I will start after the first 30 questions, which was what he pointed to originally, and start what would be roughly question 60, and will question from there to the end and we'll see how that goes.

If $I$ do intrude into the fifth
amendment I've stipulated that at any time defending counsel can simply state that and $I$ will withdraw the question and move on. We're not going to have on record disputed about the fifth amendment prior to the courts making a decision about that.

```
                    Is that correct, Ms. Perrell?
```

MS. PERRELL: That's correct.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And that
stipulation and that agreement is reflected on page 1 of Exhibit 1 which is the proffered question list. With that out of the way let's start at question 60 of the proffered question list which has been supplied to all counsel.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q And, Mr. Yusuf, I'm going to ask you a series of questions today beginning with the subject of certain tax and corporate documents that you signed and filed. Is that okay?

A I don't understand the question. What is the --

Q I'm going to ask you questions about tax documents and corporate documents that you signed and then filed with the government.

A That's -- that's fine. That's fine. I -MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Good. If we could have a look at Exhibit 68?

THE WITNESS: 68?
MR. HARTMANN: Yes.

THE WITNESS: It's --
MR. HARTMANN: The court reporter will put it on the screen for you, Mr. Yusuf.

THE WITNESS: I can't see. I could see the whole thing, but $I$ can't read it.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Well, perhaps Mr. Herpel can supply you with an easier one to see.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I could -- I could see.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. And could you tell me what Exhibit 68 is?

## (Exhibit 68 was marked for

 identification.)A Okay. You are -- you are asking what?
Q What is Exhibit 68?
A It say What Social Security Means to You. I don't know what that means. I know what it means, but I don't know the purpose of it. I --

Q Okay. Do you recognize this as your January 22, 2001, social security statement provided to you by the United States government?

A -- what is that? "We're sending you this Social Security Statement to help you understand what Social Security means to you and your family. We hope --"

Q Mr. Yusuf, in the upper righthand corner of this document do you see the date January 22, 2001?

A Yeah. I -- I see the date. January 22nd.
Q Okay. And on the left side at the top do you see the address being to Fathi Mohamad Yusuf, PO Box 763, Christiansted VI 00821?

A That is -- that is correct. Yes.
Q Okay. So was this a document that you received from the Social Security Administration on or about January 22, 2001.

A If -- maybe. Maybe. I don't know. If it's there I -- I may have received it, or sometime I received it and I don't read it.

MS. HARTMANN: Okay. If we could turn over now to page 3, please? Down so that we can see the chart. Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Now, Mr. Yusuf, on your Social Security

Statement for 2001 the government reports the following amounts as the income tax you reported to the government on your income tax returns: In 1990 the Social Security Administration says that your tax return reflected that you had adjusted gross income of $\$ 23,723$. Do you know if that's correct?

A Well, I -- I would assume it's correct.
Q Okay. And do you see the rest of the years, 1991 through 1999 there? Would you assume that they are correct?

A I seen all of them.
Q And are they correct?
A They must be correct.
Q Okay. And particularly I direct your attention to the entry for 1997 , $\$ 55,617$. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And do you agree that your reported --
A 55,617 .
Q Okay. And do you agree that your reported income in 1997 was $\$ 55,617 ?$

A I would assume so.

Q Okay. I'm going to represent to you that for the ten years from 1990 to 1999 I've added up that column, and for that ten years your total income was $\$ 267,971$. Is that correct?

A 257 for what?
Q 267,971 is the total of the column for your income.

A I don't see that total for here -- I -- I see --

Q No. I'm telling you that I have added up that column and that's what the number comes to.

A All right. So --
Q Okay. And do you agree that that is or is very approximately the reported tax income for you for the ten years of 1990 through 1999?

A That's what maybe we report but --
Q Okay.
A -- to me.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And for an example of the documents the government used to do this I'd like the reporter to show Exhibit 61, please? / /

BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. And, Mr. Yusuf, can you identify what Exhibit 61 is?

$$
\text { (Exhibit } 61 \text { was marked for }
$$ identification.)

A The Sixteen Plus Corporation income tax return.

Q Okay. And down below that at the bottom of that page, is this your signature?

A Yes. That's absolutely my signature.
Q Okay. And you see that you've signed as the secretary/treasurer? Were you at that time the secretary/treasurer of Sixteen Plus?

A I was up to now.
Q Were you at some time the secretary/treasurer of Sixteen Plus?

A I -- I was. Yes.
Q Okay. And can you tell me what the date is that you signed that document?

A Looks to be it's I signed it October 12, 2000 .

Q Okay. And directly over your signature
there's a statement. It says, under penalty of perjury I declare that $I$ have examined this return including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct, and complete. Did you sign your name under that statement?

A Yes.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. So now switch to
Exhibit 23, please?
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, were you a criminal defendant in a criminal case with the number 2005-015, United States of America and Government of the Virgin Islands vs. United Corporation?
(Exhibit 23 was marked for identification.)

A Yes.
Q Okay. And in that case the government filed this document.

MR. HARTMANN: And $I$ would like to turn
over to page 4?
BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. And you'll see two arrows and a chart there. The text at the first arrow says, "Defendant has conceded that is true, as it must, because those figures are based on United's own records. There is no dispute that United failed to report at least $\$ 60$ million in sales on its gross receipts tax returns and corporate income tax returns for the years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001, as set forth in the table below:." And there's a table there in which for each of those years the government states that the unreported gross income was a certain amount of money which adds up to a total of 63,450,096. Do you see that?

A I see --
Q I'm sorry?
A Income is -- what kind of income? Gross income or net?

Q The first column is reported gross receipts. Those are amounts you reported to the government.

A Yes.
Q Column two is the corrected gross receipts, what the government's audit showed your books actually
reflected you had received.
A I see now. Okay.
Q And column three is the unreported gross receipts for those years.

A Okay.
Q And they said it totaled 63,450,096.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- and I think that's -- pull that down.

MR. HERPEL: You're on the --
THE WITNESS: I am but I can't see
that. I can't see --
MR. HERPEL: Can we move that up a
little bit? That chart?

THE WITNESS: Okay. Now I could see. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. So do you see at the bottom line it says the total for the six years 1996 through 2001 is $\$ 63,450,096$ of unreported gross receipts?

A Okay. Yes.
Q Yes. And in that case did you concede that there was over 60 million dollars of unreported receipts during those six years?

MS. PERRELL: Objection. Did he concede? Because this appears to be talking about the United Corporation. Unclear. Your question is vague. MR. HARTMANN: Okay. He can answer. MS. PERRELL: No.

THE WITNESS: No. See -- the --
MS. PERRELL: Just a minute. Wait, wait, wait. Mr. Yusuf, $I$ have an objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. PERRELL: The question says --
MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, no ruling --
MS. PERRELL: No, no. Carl, I'm going
to --

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, no ruling objections. You've objected and I'm going to continue now.

MS. PERRELL: And you have asked him if he conceded.

MR. HARTMANN: I have asked him a question which the reporter can read back.

MS. PERRELL: Carl, look --
MR. HARTMANN: You don't get to talk
during this deposition, Charlotte. You've made an objection.

MS. PERRELL: Carl, I'm going to speak, and if you want to bring it up to the judge you can do that; okay? What --

MR. HARTMANN: You want to call the judge right now? Because I'm not going to do this all day long.

MS. PERRELL: You specifically said did you concede, and as it read --

MR. HARTMANN: I understand what my question was.

MS. PERRELL: And your question --
MR. HARTMANN: Then you objected.
MS. PERRELL: -- relates to United, and so I'm asking you to clarify when you say you concede do you mean you, Mr. Yusuf, or do you mean United? That's my question. It is vague. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Mr. Yusuf, you may now answer the question.
A I don't have to answer it, sir.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. The witness has
refused to answer the question.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Mr. Yusuf, at the first two lines of this for 1996 and 1997 it states that the reported gross income in --

THE WITNESS: He's covering it up.
MR. HERPEL: The chart has to be moved up in order to be visible to Mr. Yusuf.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Like that I can't see if there's any -- that don't mean nothing to me. That I could see much clearer than the --

MR. HERPEL: -- the camera. The camera
is here.
THE WITNESS: Oh. Okay. Well --
THE REPORTER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I cannot answer without my lawyer saying go ahead and answer.

MS. PERRELL: Mr. Yusuf, there's not a question posed, but if you can answer the question, until I tell you not to you may answer. I'm raising objection as to the form of these questions which I think are concerns, but if you can answer the question
you can answer the question until $I$ tell you not to; okay?

THE WITNESS: This is a total -- this
is --
MS. PERRELL: Well --
THE WITNESS: -- for United that cover
Plaza Extra, which has nothing to do with United. It's a partnership issue, and United. I don't know how much the United return or how much the real -what you call United Grocery retail.

MR. HARTMANN: I ask the court reporter
to mark the deposition with an exception for conversation between counsel and her client, and for rolling objections, and to note the timestamp right now. Thank you.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Mr. Yusuf, look at the first row of that chart. First row of that chart reports that in 1996 United Corporation had $\$ 36,771,260$ in reported gross receipts. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Is that a correct number?

A I would assume so.
Q Okay. And in the next line, next column for 1996, it says United Corporation's corrected gross receipts, in other words the gross receipts that the government determined after reviewing your books and records, was actually over 8 million dollars more at $\$ 44,459,700$. Do you see that?

A I see it.
Q And do you believe that's correct?
A I would assume it's correct.
Q Okay. So in the third column it states that the unreported gross receipts for 1996 , in other words money that was diverted from United's income prior to tax reporting, was $\$ 8,188,440$. Do you see that?

A I seeing it. Yeah.
Q Okay. And do you believe that's correct?
A I would assume so.
Q Okay. And do you see the same three numbers for 1997?

A Yes. I seeing it.
Q Okay. And do you believe that the numbers for 1997 are correct?

A I would assume according to my staff, which Walley Hamed is part of my staff, I would assume is that correct for --

Q Okay. And can we say the same --
A May I complete, please? Walley Hamed is the one always who dealt with my accounting. All the --

THE REPORTER: Mr. Yusuf, this is the
court reporter. You are speaking lower and lower. I need you to speak louder and to repeat your last statement, please.

THE WITNESS: Oh. Okay. What --
that's -- that's loud enough?
THE REPORTER: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf --
THE REPORTER: If you could repeat your last statement?

THE WITNESS: My -- you want -- repeat my statement again?

THE REPORTER: Yes.
THE WITNESS: The statement is 99.9 of
all activity is done by the management. I'm not a manager. I'm always at my office. The one, the real manager, was Walley Hamed, and he is the one used to go to the company accountant, Pablo O'Neill. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, can we say that the numbers for 1998 through 2001 and the totals on that chart are also correct?

A I would assume it's correct based on my staff.

Q Okay. Thank you very much.
A Yes --
MS. PERRELL: No.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Can you tell me without specificity as to where it went what happened to that $\$ 63,450,096$ that was removed from Plaza Extra's receipts before taxes were paid?

A I don't know what happened to it. I --
Q You --
A -- understand that you want to ask the same question. Walley Hamed.

Q Okay. So you don't know where that 63 million dollars went?

A Stays in the business.
Q Stayed in what business?
A The supermarket business. Money go in and out the supermarket, not the renter.

Q Okay. So you and Walley Hamed did not remove 63 million dollars during that six-year period?

A It is not moved. In some cases we used to pay cash. It's not profit. Sometimes whenever we receive from brokers, suppliers, or produce from Santo Domingo Walley used to pay cash and get a receipt. Never were -- went to the bank.

Q Okay. Was any of it diverted, of the $\$ 63,450,096$, diverted to your and Walley's personal use to buy land in Jordan, the West Bank, the United States Virgin Islands, or other places?

A Some of it.
MS. PERRELL: Objection. Objection. Fifth amendment privilege.

> MR. HARTMANN: Okay.

BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q You don't have to answer that, Mr. Yusuf. MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'd like to turn now to Exhibit 64. Is it possible to enlarge that a little more?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I can't see it. MR. HARTMANN: I also need the bottom.

Yeah. There you go. That's perfect.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Can you see this document, Mr. Yusuf?
(Exhibit 64 was marked for identification.)

A Yeah.
Q Okay. And at the top it says BFC, Banque Francaise Commerciale?

A BFC. Yes.
Q Okay. And in the line on the right side where it states the client's name it says Mr. Fathi Yusuf. Do you see that?

A That's -- yeah. That's my account.
Q Okay.
A Now -- yes.
Q Okay. And right above that could you read
your account number, please?
A $40 \quad 60 \quad 6387790$.
Q Okay. And to who was this statement sent? There's an address there where this statement was sent. Could you tell me whose address that is?

A It must be sent to me.
Q Well, it says it sent to 12 Canigiete Road, Philipsburg (Isam Yousuf), Sint-Maarten. Are you Isam Yousuf, and did you ever live at 12 Canigiete Road?

A No. We put our address in Saint Martin, and we used my nephew address to receive all our corresponding from that particular bank.

Q Okay. Now if you look over at the lefthand column you'll notice that using the European method of date stamping that this document extends from April $30 t h$ of 1998 to May 15th of 1998; is that correct?

A Yes. It looks so.
Q Okay. And during that time do you see the deposits listed there? The starting balance is set as 624,795 . Was that your starting balance on that date?

A I would -- it looks so.

Q Okay. And during that time did you deposit $\$ 100,000$, another $\$ 100,000$, another $\$ 100,000, \$ 70,000$, and $\$ 50,000$ into that account?

A I would -- it looks so. Yeah.
Q Okay. And down at the bottom of that page it has cumulative debit or credit. It shows your account balance at that point as $\$ 1,044,795$ is that correct?

A It -- it look -- yes. That's the total. Yeah. That's --

Q And was that the correct total on that date?
A Repeat the question, please?
Q Yes. Do you believe that to have been the correct total on that date?

A I would assume so.
Q Okay. So could you explain to me how -- I will tell you something else that you can believe; okay? I'm going to make a representation to you. I'm going to tell you that $I$ averaged those ten years of your income from the Social Security Administration's reporting of your tax returns as being $\$ 26,797$ a year, and the four-year average from 1996 to 1999 was
$\$ 37,788$. Does that sound correct to you?
A Okay. Where you see -- I don't -- I don't see it there. I don't see it, the --

Q Okay. I'm telling you that that is a mathematical computation based on your social security document that we previously examined, so you can believe that. So here's my question. When you were averaging for the four years from 1996 to 1999 \$37,788 a month in income how were you placing $\$ 100,000$; 100,000; 100,000; 70,000; and 50,000 dollars into your bank account in Saint Martin in just one month?

MS. PERRELL: Objection. Fifth
amendment privilege.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q And, Mr. Yusuf, if you'll take a look at the next page of this document? Okay. Mr. Yusuf --

MR. HARTMANN: Can you -- just a little more? Thank you.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Mr. Yusuf, do you see this deposit slip?
A Yes. I see it.

Q Okay. And this is a deposit slip that was attached to that statement, and it states that for the first of the $\$ 100,000$ deposits the form that it was deposited was one thousand hundred-dollar bills for a total of $\$ 100,000$. Do you see that?

A I see it.
Q Okay. And can you read the stamp down there for the date that that was deposited?

A March 5th --
Q I think it's May 5th, but that's --
A May. Yeah. Okay. You can't see -- I could see $M-A$ and -- but --

Q That's fine.
A -- if that is March. It doesn't --
Q Okay. And did you personally take one thousand one-hundred-dollar bills to the bank and deposit them on May 5, 1998?

MS. PERRELL: Same objection. Same objection. Fifth amendment.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q And if you look there just above that
stamp --
MS. PERRELL: Carl, $I$ have no problem, I'm happy to continue to raise these objections, I just -- I mean, my understanding is that you are going to inquire into the loan, things relating to the loan, all of those issues. This is obviously 1998 which is after. I'm happy to continue down this path, but I thought you didn't want to do that whole, you know, back and forth on the fifth. I will do it, but $I$ just didn't think that's what you were --

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, I offered a stipulation and you guys accepted that essentially would have put off this deposition for exactly this reason which is --

MS. PERRELL: Well --
MR. HARTMANN: Let me finish, please.
MS. PERRELL: Mm-hmm.
MR. HARTMANN: Because I didn't want to go through these questions and have them riddled with fifth amendment objections, so I entered into that stipulation. Last night late in the evening I received an email from Stefan which said that he
didn't see it that way, that he only saw the first 30 questions as being excluded under that rule, which then forced me to have to take the deposition as to all the rest of the questions.

MS. PERRELL: Well --
MR. HARTMANN: So I ask you again since
it is impossible to examine this witness outside of the context of the fact that he took, and denies he took, 60 million dollars and sent it to Isam, and Isam put it in the bank, $I$ don't understand how I could ask him questions about these accounts, his taxes, and his corporate filings because every one of the questions is going to go back to the question of where did the money come from and who transported it.

MS. PERRELL: Right. And I understand your issues. I guess where I'm coming from is to the extent you wanted to ask any questions, if you started at paragraph 30, which is what Stefan emailed yesterday, paragraph 30 talks about, you know, in September of 1996 it talks about the loan, it talks about the conversations that were had, it talks about all of the things relating to the loan.

My understanding is that the issues
here relate to the Manal loan and the allegation that Walley has made that the loan was not necessarily -that the loan was really either partnership money or whatever, but that's all, again, back in 1996. And so my point here was to allow you to ferret out any questions about who said what, when, what the conversations were, what happened, Mr. Yusuf's perspective on all of that, similar to the questions, you know, that you seem to be asking the prior two witnesses today relating to the issue of the loan and so forth. This is into 1998, which is after the loan.

And so in any event I'm not trying to be difficult, I'm not trying to create problems and make this procedurally difficult, I'm trying to say, look, if you want to ask Mr. Yusuf all of the things about the loan and his position on that, you're happy to do it, and, you know, that's where we were coming from. So, you know, 30 is about that, 31 is about that, all of those questions are about that.

And so I think what we were trying to say is just, look, this doesn't stop you from asking
questions about the loan. It does stop from questions relating to certain parts of that which we believe are fifth amendment. So I'm not trying to be difficult, I'm not trying to, you know -- I'm trying to give you as much opportunity to ask the questions that you want of Mr. Yusuf as to the events that transpired, what he knows, what he knew, and so forth, at the same time preserving his fifth amendment --

MR. HARTMANN: To the court --

Charlotte, stop.
MS. PERRELL: I can respond.
MR. HARTMANN: To the court reporter, I'd ask you to mark the transcript with an exception and enter the timestamp. I'm not going to have extended conversations within my seven hours. Stefan said don't ask questions up to 30 after entering into a stipulation that would have made this deposition today unnecessary. He then said ask the questions after.

I am in the 60 series, which $I$ informed you in writing after Stefan sent the change to the agreed stipulation $I$ would be starting with and
working on. We've now gotten through four questions, four of the 60 questions, starting at 60 . I'm now on -- I believe this exhibit is the one that matches up to 64. And I guess my problem is is that you already made four objections in the 60 s questions. So I don't --

MR. HERPEL: May in interject and say that you've selected out of the 60-some questions that I said did not appear to intrude in the fifth amendment based on an hour review that $I$ had last night when you gave me that document with the questions at 8:13, and you've selected for questioning the ones that relate to post-loan events. There are many questions starting with number 30 that don't relate to 1998 and later years, so why --

MR. HARTMANN: This is not a post-loan event.

MR. HERPEL: You're asking about what happened in 1998.

MR. HARTMANN: No. 1998 is pre-loan. MR. HERPEL: Then I suggest that you just start with question 30 and go forward with
questions about the loan and about the time period relating to the loan rather than focusing on 1990 through 1998 and what was earned then, and then asking about bank statements for post-loan bank statements. Why can't we keep it -- when I looked at this last night, admittedly a quick review, it seemed like questions 30 were very similar to questions you were asking, you know, of the other witnesses this week.

Beginning with question 30 , but you've selected for today questions that go outside that that talk about eight years' worth of income and 1998 bank statements. Well, why don't we focus on the time period at issue?

MR. HARTMANN: I'm not going to have you direct my deposition. You sent me an email that said you objected to my asking to questions 1 to 30 , and that questions from 30 on were acceptable. I changed the entire deposition because we had originally stipulated that $I$ simply wouldn't go into these types of areas because of the fifth amendment complications. Can $I$ suggest a way out of it?

Why don't we continue this deposition
as we had originally stipulated until after the judge's decision and then take it?

MR. HERPEL: I think we should find out what's objectionable. And if you ask question 30 -Charlotte, you can look at it too -- but why don't we ask questions that aren't objectionable? And I think --

MR. HARTMANN: Stefan, I'm asking the questions and she's objecting. I don't know which are not objectionable until she objects.

MR. HERPEL: Well, why don't we start
in sequence? Start with --
MR. HARTMANN: I am starting in
sequence. As I said in me email yesterday to you, because you said do not question as to 1 through 30 I will start at 60 and go to the end. Did you send me an email, or did Charlotte send me an email, between then and now that said don't start at 60 or don't question about --

MR. HERPEL: No but I also -- in fairness, Carl, you converted Walley's statement into a series of questions at $8: 15$ yesterday evening, the
day before the deposition. I did my best to review your new document, a list of questions, and $I$ concluded on the basis of that cursory view that questions 30 and beyond seemed legitimate to me and did not intrude on the fifth. I could not --

MR. HARTMANN: And I'm asking questions starting at 60, which is after 30 .

MR. HERPEL: Well, I know. I know, but I could not make definitive representation based on an hour review. And some of these questions that are asking about post-loan events or asking about events ten years before that you've started with are objectionable. But $I$ think that's the exception as $I$ see it here to the questions 30 through 93. Most of them seem to be tied to the loan or events close to in proximity to the loan. So we're not saying you can't ask questions about the loan and the source of the funds for the loan.

MR. HARTMANN: Stefan. Stefan, stop. MR. HERPEL: Yeah.

MR. HARTMANN: This is a document from within a year of the loan. So when you say close
proximity and I can't ask about this how am I to interpret that? This is a 1998 series of transactions.

MR. HERPEL: But how does that answer the question of the source of funds for a loan? Something that was a deposit made to an account after the loan was made?

MR. HARTMANN: Stefan, I'm not going to --

MR. HERPEL: I'm not --
MR. HARTMANN: Stefan, I'm not getting into this argument.

MR. HERPEL: No. But there's much of what is in here that is not objectionable, so why don't we proceed with that which is not objectionable and does not deal with post-loan events?

MR. HARTMANN: Stefan, $I$ can't tell what you guys will find objectionable. I've started at 60, I'm going to the end, and so far you've objected to virtually every single thing I've asked.

MR. HERPEL: No. Well, I --
MR. HARTMANN: So I'll continue with
the deposition and ask the questions, and you can continue to make these obviously dilatory and abusive objections which are designed to chop up the deposition.

MR. HERPEL: No. Carl, you're entitled to your opinion.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MR. HERPEL: I don't think we're being
that way. We're encouraging you to ask legitimate questions. That's it.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MR. HERPEL: And I'm not trying to block you or -- and I'm not going to go to court and say that every one of these questions from 30 to 93 is illegitimate. We would not do that.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'll continue my --

MR. HERPEL: I --
MR. HARTMANN: I'll continue my
questioning.
MR. HERPEL: Thank you.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Mr. Yusuf, above the date stamp on the document that we're looking at there's a signature that says Fathi Yusuf. Do you see that?

A That is not a signature. That is not my handwriting.

Q Okay. Do you know whose handwriting it is?
A It could be Walley, it could be someone else.

Q Who else?
A I don't know.
Q Could it be --
A Ask Walley the same question.
Q Could it be Isam's?
A Walley is the one who was in charging of sending the money to Saint Martin.

Q When you say he sent it to --
A I -- used to travel.
Q Right. But when he sent it to Saint Martin he sent it to Isam, who then deposited it; didn't he?

A I don't know. Ask Walley, please.
Q So --

A I only went to Saint Martin twice. One of them to the bank, and one of them was personal. Family, personal trip.

Q Okay. So --
A -- other trip was picked up by Walley, and I believe this is -- could be Walley handwriting.

Q So what you're saying is that it could be Walley's handwriting, it could be Isam's handwriting, but the only person who would know who was sending the money to Saint Martin that Isam was depositing would be Walley, not you; is that correct?

A Walley is -MS. PERRELL: Objection. Wait. Mr. Yusuf. Objection. That misstates the testimony. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q You can now answer, Mr. Yusuf.
A Other -MS. PERRELL: You can -BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q I'll rephrase. I'll re-ask the question. Mr. Yusuf.

A Yes.
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Q Are you saying that when the money went from the Virgin Islands to Saint Martin you were not the person who was involved with depositing it into the bank?

A No. Not me.
Q Okay. And are you saying that you don't really know who did deposit it into the bank?

A I have no idea.
Q Okay. And so --
A That's not my bank. I don't know if money's coming from us but --

MS. PERRELL: Okay. Mr. Yusuf. Carl, I'm going to have to object. This, I think, is getting to -- his answers are getting into the fifth amendment privilege, and $I$ think your question is calling for it. I apologize, but I think we've got to invoke it.

MR. HARTMANN: He's already in the middle of an answer, Charlotte. You didn't object when the question was asked.

MS. PERRELL: I am directing him not to answer on fifth amendment grounds.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MS. PERRELL: Again, I'm not trying to
be obstructive. So --
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'd like to point out for the purpose of the record that when I'm calling for exhibit, for instance, 23 or exhibit 64, that's the exhibit that's keyed to the question number. So, for instance, when we're looking at exhibit 64 for the deposit of a million dollars we're discussing question 64 and the documents that go to question 64. Okay? So let's take a look at Exhibit 63 then. Oh. Just a second. Could you show the exhibit number, please? Thank you. Now could you zoom in?

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Mr. Yusuf, can you tell me what this document is?
(Exhibit 63 was marked for identification.)

A Bank record, BFC. My account listed on it, my name listed on it, and the account total would be 624, 795 .

Q Okay. And is it for the period of April 17th through April 30th?

A I don't see that date.
Q Over in the lefthand column could you see the dates?

A -- March 2098 [sic] to April 30th -- you know, April -- yeah. The -- the 30 days, 40 days, period.

Q Okay. And do you see during that time into your account was deposited four $\$ 100,000$ deposits and one $\$ 129,900$ deposit?

MS. PERRELL: Same objection. Fifth amendment privilege.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. If we could look at the next page of this document? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. This is Exhibit 63, Page 2, and this is a deposit slip into your account that was attached to that statement. And do you see on the right side how it states that 480 one-hundred-dollar bills, 840 fifty-dollar bills, and 500 twenty-dollar bills were deposited into your --


Q Can you identify whose signature it is?
A I -- I -- my name there, but I don't know who wrote it.

Q Okay. And was it your common custom to allow people other than yourself to deposit money to your bank accounts in 1996 through 2000?

A Walley.
Q Other people besides Walley?
A You can direct that question to Walley.
Q Okay. So you have no knowledge?
A I have no knowledge.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'd like to look
at Exhibit 62. And if you could zoom in on that? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q And this is again a deposit slip for your account. This time it say 3 of 1998, March of 1998, that on March 20, 1998, you deposited $\$ 70,000$ into your account. Or, excuse me, that $\$ 70,000$ was deposited in your account; is that correct? (Exhibit 62 was marked for identification.)

A That should be directed to Walley, please.

Q Okay.
A -- to Saint Martin's.
MR. HARTMANN: Can $I$ have the next page of this document, please?

BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. And again on the right side this says that 700 one-hundred dollar bills were deposited. Do you know how those 700 dollar bills got to Saint Martin, who deposited them, or why?

A That --
MS. PERRELL: Same objection. Same objection. Fifth amendment privilege.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q And is that your signature in the lower left side?

A That's is -- not the signature. That's somebody handwriting.

Q Okay. Is that your handwriting?
A Not my handwriting. This is not my handwriting.

Q Okay. And do you have any idea why that
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| 1 | \$70,000 was deposited into this account? |
| 2 | MS. PERRELL: Same objection. |
| 3 | MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Charlotte, can I |
| 4 | assume that you're going to make the same objection |
| 5 | about all bank and financial statements? |
| 6 | MS. PERRELL: With regard to -- I mean, |
| 7 | certainly anything -- yeah. I think it's safe to say |
| 8 | that yes we would make that objection with regard to |
| 9 | these accounts. Yes. |
| 10 | MR. HARTMANN: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. HERPEL: For what time period are |
| 12 | we talking? |
| 13 | MR. HARTMANN: 1996 to 2000. Okay. If |
| 14 | you'd now put up Exhibit 67? Oh. I'm sorry. First |
| 15 | I'd like to quickly look at -- Exhibit 67, please. |
| 16 | BY MR. HARTMANN: |
| 17 | Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, can you identify what this |
| 18 | document is? |
| 19 | (Exhibit 67 was marked for |
| 20 | identification.) |
| 21 | A It is a -- a form for income tax for Sixteen |
| 22 | Plus Corporation. |

Q Okay. And if you'll look down at the bottom of -- first, was this filed with the Virgin Islands Bureau of Internal Revenue on October 13, 2000?

A Yeah. I see the stamp.
Q Okay. And can you tell by looking at the document in the upper righthand corner what tax year this is being filed for?

A I have not seen that.
Q Do you see the year 1999 in that upper righthand corner where it says client's copy right under that?

A Go up a little bit, please, with the document? I did not see the last digit. Oh. 1999. Yes.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. If we can now look at the bottom of this document?

THE WITNESS: No. We have to go up, please.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q And is that your signature at the bottom of this document?

A Yes, sir.
Q And did you file this document?
A The accountant filed it. Or 1999. I would assume Walley or Pablo O'Neill because I always work here or Saint Thomas.

Q But you signed it under penalty of perjury; didn't you?

A I sign it in good faith what my accountant give me.

Q Okay. And again you signed it as the secretary/treasurer of Sixteen Plus?

A Yes.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Now I'd like to take a look at page 4, please? And specifically line 12 through 19.

MR. HERPEL: Yeah. Could you move that up, please, on the screen? Line 19?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I see it. It start with four and a half million, end up total with 4,523,261.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Let's look first at line 12; okay?

A Yes.
Q Okay. This says that Sixteen Plus Corporation is reporting in its '99 tax return land valued at 4.5 million and with a present value of $\$ 4,596,159$. Do you see that?

A No. Where is that? That --
Q Line 12.
MR. HERPEL: The gallery is concealing
that column I think. Could you slide the exhibit a little bit to the left?

THE WITNESS: To the left, please.
MR. HERPEL: The images of the
participants are concealing the third column.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Stefan?
MR. HERPEL: Yes?
MR. HARTMANN: Go to the top where it says view and click on witness.

MR. HERPEL: I've got side by side gallery, hide self-view, standard -- which is clicked. Side by side speaker, side by side gallery, hide selfview, hide --

MR. HARTMANN: Would you click on
speaker?
MR. HERPEL: Side by side speaker?
MR. HARTMANN: Speaker. Yes.
THE WITNESS: Now -- now $I$ can see it.
MR. HERPEL: Okay.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Please look at line 12, and the question before you is do you see that Sixteen Plus in its 1999 tax return which you signed reported that it held land valued at $\$ 4,596,159 ?$

A Okay.
Q Do you see that?
A So this is what's given to me.
Q Okay. And at that time what land was held that was worth $\$ 4,595,159$ [sic]?

A Could you repeat the question, please?
Q What land did Sixteen Plus own that was worth 4.5 million dollars?

A What land?
Q Yes.
A Diamond Keturah. There is two pieces of
land. It's --
Q Okay. So down below that at line 15 you'll see that $S i x t e e n$ Plus reported in its 1999 tax return which you signed that its total assets were worth $\$ 4,709,261$. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you believe that to be accurate?

A The questions should go to Walley. As far as I'm concerned it was presented to me either by Walley or by Pablo O'Neill, and I signed it without going through all these numbers.

Q Okay. Well, then let me ask the question again.

A Okay.
Q Since the land was worth about four and a half million, and the total assets of the company are being listed at 4.7 million, would it be fair to say that the only real asset of any value that the company held was the Diamond Keturah land?

A I don't understand the question. Repeat it slowly, please?

Q Okay. Was the only asset that the company held at the time of the 1999 tax return the Diamond Keturah land?

A Yes.
Q Yes?
A Sixteen Plus, that's the only one it have, which all of it comes from Manal. Four -- four and a half million that's coming from Manal. I honestly didn't know where that come from.

Q Okay. Now I'd ask you to look at line 20.
A All right.
Q 20 gives the total amount of mortgages, notes, bonds payable in one year or more. And is there anything listed on that line? Are there any numbers listed as mortgages or notes?

A I don't see any.
Q Okay. And above that at line 19 it states loans from shareholders. It states $\$ 4,708,261$. Who at that time, who in 1999, were the shareholders of Sixteen Plus Corporation?

A That is worth -- worth -- that's not contribution from the shareholder. The shareholder
does not have any money invested --
Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf --
A -- money. I'm not finished. This was corrected. I realize it a year later, or two years, and all this is being corrected 'cause we don't have no shareholder investment period.

Q Okay. At this time in 1999, Mr. Yusuf, who were the shareholders?

A The shareholder is -- I can't -- myself and my wife, and my four sons.

Q And how much did the Yusuf family hold of Sixteen Plus?

A Fifty-fifty.
Q I'm sorry?
A 50 percent for Yusuf family and 50 percent for Hamed family.

Q Well, who -- okay. Now you just said to me that this was an error and the next year you changed it; is that correct?

A I don't remember exactly but $I$ know this -this statement was wrong statement and it's being corrected.

Q Okay. Then I'm going to go through the filings from this pay all the way to 2021 one at a time and show you that those two lines still say the exact same -- not the exact same thing. Slightly different amounts, but there is always an amount for a loan from shareholders, and there is never an amount for loans for mortgages to Manal for the next 15 years. So let's first turn -- well, one more thing on this exhibit before leaving.

MR. HARTMANN: Could we turn to page 5, please?

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Now attached to this 1999 return was a Shareholder's Share of Income, Credits, Deductions, et cetera. And this one $I$ will represent to you was the report by Hisham Hamed, the plaintiff in this action, and it was also prepared and submitted under your signature with the 1999 taxes.

So did you provide, you and your CPA provide, Hisham Hamed any other shareholders with these schedule $K-1 s$ to be filed with the Virgin Island's Department of Internal Revenue?
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A I -- I told you, sir. Walley is the man in charge to -- Pablo O'Neill. Both of them in Saint Croix, and I was always in Saint Thomas. Only when I go and -- they bring me set of paper and I sign. I don't know about $K-1$ or $K-9$, whatever $K$ it is. I did not contact Hisham about share. I understand he have some shares given by his father, but I -- I don't know. I see -- could you raise up that and see if my signature is on it?

Q Yes. Your signature is on this.
A I would like to see it, please.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Could we go back to page 1 at the bottom?

THE WITNESS: Why do I have to sign for
Hisham? That's -- is that Hisham's income tax? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q No. This is --
A Why do I have to sign that for Hisham?
Q This is a document that was filed with your 1999 tax returns that the company then issues to Hisham.

Q Look, this is -- Pablo O'Neill, God bless
him, he passed away, but the one Pablo O'Neill was working all the long time on direction of Walley, not me. I am always on Saint Thomas. Walley's the one who is dealing all the time with Pablo O'Neill. By the way, I would like to -- comment. I don't know if I should do it now or not.

Walley should be president from his father to my brother to my son. And from Walley Hamed as vice president to my wife. My wife never enter this kind of business, and my son never be the president of Sixteen Plus. Please. Ask these questions to Walley, why he did all this. He went to the lawyer and pick up all of our documents, and he start to make changes of his own. And Pablo O'Neill go ahead and approve it. I can't be blamed for that.

MR. HARTMANN: Please put up Exhibit
70. And if you could zoom in on the top half of it?

THE WITNESS: What is that? Annual report.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. I'm showing you a document that is labeled the Annual Report on a domestic or foreign
corporation, and it's dated received August 30th. And as we'll see below, that's of 2001.
(Exhibit 70 was marked for identification.)

Do you know that every year a corporation in the Virgin Islands has to file an annual report?

A Yes.
Q And they file it with the office of the Lieutenant Governor?

A Yes.
Q And who in a corporation normally signs this document and makes representations to the government about the corporation?

A The officers. And I thought Walley is an officer. It look like he changed it and put my son. But then --

Q Okay. If you go down to the bottom of this page --

A Excuse me. Excuse me. But he signed he was not an officer according to his changes, but he still signed as vice president. President, and then put comma vice president. That's -- your question. I
sign below there.
Q Okay.
A If he's not a vice president, why he signs it?

Q Well, on the line just above this it says names and addresses of directors and officers of the company at the close of the physical year, and it lists Mohammed Hamed is the president, it lists Walley Hamed as the vice president, and it lists Fathi Yusuf as the secretary/treasurer. Is that the correct listing of the officers?

THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.

MR. HERPEL: Could you repeat the question, please?

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: The last one. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Look just above the signature line. About five lines up. And you see where it says names and addresses of the directors and officers?

A Where? I see Walley's signature, and my
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | signature, and the date. |
| 2 | Q No. But above that -- |
| 3 | MR. HERPEL: Where the arrow is |
| 4 | pointing. Could you use the cursor to -- |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I don't see that. |
| 6 | MR. HERPEL: Do you see the -- |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. |
| 8 | MR. HARTMANN: Thank you. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Name and addresses of |
| 10 | director and -- close of physical -- |
| 11 | MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And now if you |
| 12 | could highlight the line with their names? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Where is it? Where is it |
| 14 | now? |
| 15 | MR. HARTMANN: Okay. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: That's all my |
| 17 | understanding up to now, and then $I$ start reading this |
| 18 | document. Up to now -- or, I mean, up to when |
| 19 | Mohammed Hamed was still alive he was the president. |
| 20 | BY MR. HARTMANN: |
| 21 | Q Okay. |
| 22 | A And he never been -- excuse me. He never |

been substitute with somebody else, but he put my son as the president and my wife as the vice president.

Q Okay. And so these were the correct officers in 1999; is that correct?

A Yes. To my understanding, yes.
Q Okay. And did you sign this report and submit it to the government?

A Yes.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'd like to turn over now to page 2. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q And at the top of page 2 do you see that it's stamped received on April 30th?

A April 30th. I see in August 30th.
Q I'm sorry. August 30th? My error.
A Yeah.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Now if you could go down, the exhibit could be raised se we can see Liabilities and Shareholder's equity?

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Do you see the line at the top there that says Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity?

A Is it -- where is it? Where is this you see it?

MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight
Liabilities and Shareholder Equity?
THE WITNESS: Liability and Stockholder
Equity. Yeah. I read that. I seen all that on the right.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. And below that do you see the line that says loan to shareholders?

MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight
that, please?
THE WITNESS: What do you mean loan to
shareholder? There's no loan from shareholder.
The --
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Do you see the line on the document that you signed and submitted to the government that says loan to shareholders?

A This is -- I believe it was corrected. MR. HERPEL: What did you --

THE WITNESS: I am --

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. I'm just asking you do you see the line?

A Yeah. I seeing it, but I tell you it's wrong.

Q Okay. And do you see the amount $\$ 4,708,467$ ?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So in 1999 you signed a document and submitted it to the government which represented for the purpose of corporate filings that the company Sixteen Plus had a loan to its shareholders of $4,708,467$; is that correct?

A Who -- who give -- we give loan to the shareholder?

Q No. That a loan from the shareholders was given to the company in the amount of $\$ 4,708,000$ ?

A The shareholder did not spend a dollar as -as --

Q That's not the question I'm asking. I'm asking whether you submitted this document with this line in it under your signature to the Virgin Islands government in 1999?

A It's been submitted twice. One was a mistake, and the second one was correction.

Q Okay. So do you have a copy or does your lawyer have a copy of the corrected 1999 filing --

A I have to check with my accountant. I'm sure. It's John Gaffney. He's no longer working with us, but the records shows that it's been changed.

Q I'm sorry. Did you say that John Gaffney is no longer working with you?

A No. He's no longer -- well, I don't know. I don't know. I don't know. If he want to come back to work he's welcome, but he's, you know --

Q When was the last time John Gaffney worked for you?

A The what?
Q When was the last time John Gaffney worked for you?

A I think about a month ago.
Q Okay.
A He left, but with no -- when he would be back.

Q Okay. Now after this was filed in 1999 this
-- or this was the 1999 corporate report filed after that. In 2001 was Plaza Extra and United raided by the FBI?

A Yes.
Q And after that raid in 2001 were United Corporation and yourself, Walley Hamed, and Isam Hamed [sic], indicted in 2003?

A I know about us. I don't know about Isam.
Q You don't know whether Isam was a codefendant with you in the case?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Okay.
A I don't know. That is his -- he's been indicted.

Q Okay. So after you were indicted did the federal government in essence take control of your finances and your ability to spend money?

A It was monitored but not controlled.
Q Okay. So the federal government was monitoring your financials after the indictment; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And tell me how that worked? What does it mean to be monitored by the federal government following a criminal indictment? How did it work for you?

A Well, no. That question should be directed to Walley, really. I don't interfere with numbers.

Q Well, Walley wasn't a president of United Corporation, was he?

A Walley what?
Q Walley wasn't an officer of United Corporation, was he?

A Never.
Q Okay. And what entity was being monitored?
A -- in for Plaza Extra only on a verbal agreement, and $I$ am not changing it. That is enforcement to please take him as a -- if I had been forced I say I quit.

Q So Walley was not an officer of United Corporation, was he?

A He never officer.
Q Okay. And so the monitoring that the federal government did was of all the books, income,
receipts, expenditures, of United Corporation, wasn't it?

A Repeat -- repeat the question, please?
Q Yes. The monitoring that the federal government placed you under after the indictment was monitoring of the spending, income, and other financial transactions of United Corporation; is that correct?

A Yeah. Yeah. Yes.
Q Okay. And so do you as a -- are you the major shareholder of United Corporation?

A Yeah. I mean, me and -- me and my wife.
Q Okay. And what percentage of the stock of United Corporation did you hold in the years 2003 forward?

A Repeat the question, please?
Q What percentage of the stock of United Corporation from 2003 on was owned by you and your wife?

A No. I never say owned by me and my wife. We are the largest shareholder, but I -- our sons is -- with us.

Q Do you and your wife together hold the majority of the shares?

A Yes.
Q And what percentage of the shares do you and your wife together hold?

A I don't remember. I would have to open up the book. I don't know.

Q Okay. So is it your testimony that you don't understand the financial constraints and requirements and activities of the federal marshals monitor from 2003 forward following the federal indictment? That $I$ have to speak to Walley about that?

A No. What do you mean $I$ don't understand the finance?

Q Well, I asked you --
A I don't deal with financial, but $I$ do understand.

Q Okay. So tell me what you understood that the monitors were doing?

A Doing their job.
Q And what was their job?

A -- to take care of the employees. They're supposed to -- cash receipt. They're supposed to handle the store, open the store, close the store. That's their job. But, you know, Walley never bothered. He's never around at United.

Q Okay. And is one of the things that the monitors did is control all of the cash going in and out of the store?

A Not control. In charge of. Control is I am the controlling, one is $I$ have to get --

Q Okay. But --
A I was leaving him in charge.
Q Okay. But, for instance, if you or Walley or anybody else in United Corporation wanted to write a large check did you have to clear it with the monitors?

A Yeah. Well, they could bring it for me to sign. They could -- they -- Walley can sign it. He was -- because most likely -- we have two account. We have a tenant account which Walley had no access to it, and we have Plaza Extra account which his father own 50 percent of the inventory. And he's a tenant
really based on agreement. And that's all. Walley have no access to the tenant account. We can show it to him any time he want to see 'cause it was always open on our desk, but he have no authority to -- to draw -- he do have authority to draw from Plaza Extra business until I find something error -- go on. I said no check will be signed without two signature. One on each part.

Q Okay. Returning to the question of the monitors. If you wanted to write a large check on any of the Plaza Extra or United accounts after 2003's indictment could the monitors simply tell you no, you can't do that, and did they in fact tell you no, you can't do that?

A No. Nobody submitted with an invoice. They never turn me down because he knows the business $I$ have to run. But $I$ was not -- I write the check for 50,000 without him knowing. No. He's aware of it. And if he --

Q And --
A Excuse me. If he decided not to approve it that's his choice, but $I$ don't remember ever rejecting
-- from the marshal ever refuse to sign a check. All you need to know is -- and the business I have to run.

Q Do you remember that on occasions the monitor refused to allow the company to spend its money and your lawyers had to go into court and try to get the judge to overrule the monitors? Do you remember that happening?

A What is that question again?
Q Do you remember that on several occasions the monitors refused to approve financial transactions for United and that your lawyers went to the judge and tried to overrule the monitors, and the judge refused to do that?

A I -- I don't recall that.
Q You don't recall that?
A I don't recall it.
Q Okay.
A They send this man -- marshal always approve it if you can prove to him legitimate document is an invoice, or -- or purchases, or whatever payment is, but we have to prove it is -- payment.

Q Okay. Now during the period of the
monitoring did United also have to submit its financial reports to the monitors?

A Yeah. I -- I would assume so. And the -listen, $I$ thought it -- but between me and Hamed is a shape and agreement, and everything was under -- under the agreement of -- of United. But not really United have no right to take the income of the supermarket or responsible for the losses to the suppliers, but it was any income -- we -- we can't take it. There's -only own 50 percent of it.

Q Okay. And is another thing that the monitors oversaw during that period your tax and other financial filings?

A I don't understand the question.
Q Okay. You've testified that the monitors looked at and reviewed cash coming in and cash going out. You've testified that the monitors reviewed such things as requests for large checks. You've said that the monitors reviewed the financials of the company. I'm asking --

A -- deal with the financial. I really don't recall.

Q Okay. And do you recall whether they reviewed -- was there any financial information whatsoever that was not open to the monitors to review?

A Zero.
Q Okay. They could look at everything; correct?

A Yeah. They're free to look at everything, and we was ready for him -- for everything because he's the marshal and he's -- that's the government order.

Q Okay. And as part of the agreement after the indictment that allowed you to continue operating and put the monitors in place did you guys not agree that no longer would money be taken out of cash before it was reported for taxes?

MS. PERRELL: Objection. I believe that goes to the fifth amendment. MR. HARTMANN: Okay. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Mr. Yusuf, you've been told not to answer that question.

A I heard that.
Q Okay. So during that time I assume that you guys were very, very careful about all your financial transactions and all of your government and tax reporting because the federal monitors were reviewing it all; is that correct?

A Yeah.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. So now I'd like to look at --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. Carl, can we take a quick break to change media units?

MR. HARTMANN: Oh. I'm sorry. I should have taken a break before this. Can we go off the record for a second?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record. The time is 12:34 p.m.
(Off the record.)
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Before we start I'm just going to put something on the record about the dispute about the stipulation. I've been instructed by Joel Holt in the spirit of cooperation to conclude the post-80 examination after one more
small volley of questions just to impeach on an issue that we've already discussed, and at that point I'll return to 30 as Stefan has requested. And I'll try to go through that, although if there are objections there, fifth amendment objections, after that I'll just invoke the stipulation and continue the deposition.

Okay. If we could put up Exhibit 81?
THE WITNESS: 81?
MR. HERPEL: It's on the screen.
MR. HARTMANN: And page 2, please?
THE WITNESS: Page 2.
MR. HARTMANN: Ben, could you flip it to page 2? Thank you. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Mr. Yusuf, in written discovery you were asked to admit or deny that as a condition of the plea agreement and settlement the federal government removed its lien on the subject land. I want to ask you a couple of questions about your statement that you admit insofar as the lien was removed on the subject land as a result of the plea and settlement.

First of all is it true that on December $26 t h$ of 2010 the defendants in the criminal case entered into a plea agreement with both the United States and Virgin Islands governments?
(Exhibit 81 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: What is that?
MR. HERPEL: Could you repeat the question, please?

MR. HARTMANN: Sure.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Is it true that on December 26, 2010, the defendants in the criminal case, including yourself and United, entered into a plea agreement with the United States and Virgin Islands governments?

A What kind of agreement?
Q A plea agreement?
A Yeah. Yes.
Q Okay. And as part of that plea agreement was the lien -- after that plea agreement was entered into was the lien removed from the Diamond Keturah land?

MS. PERRELL: Small objection. You're talking about -- can you clarify as to which lien? I'm sorry. That's -- I'm confused. I just want to make sure it's clear. Objection. Vague. Sorry. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Mr. Yusuf, as part of the federal indictment and criminal litigation and the monitoring of you, the other defendants, and United Corporation, did the federal government place a lien on the Diamond Keturah property?

A I honestly don't know. I never checked that.

Q Okay.
A They could work, or they did not work. I don't know.

Q Okay. Your attorney wrote for you -apparently you didn't see it or don't remember it -that you admit that insofar as the lien was removed on the subject land as a result of the plea and settlement. There's nothing contentious here. I just want to move onto the next issue. Do you have any reason to believe that your prior response was wrong?

A With what?

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, can you direct your client?

MS. PERRELL: I mean, I --
THE WITNESS: I did not understand the question, sir.

MS. PERRELL: I believe that what you're trying to ask is is this response for the request to admit accurate to Mr. Yusuf's knowledge. Is that the question?

MR. HARTMANN: Yes, it is.
MS. PERRELL: Okay.
EXAMINATION

BY MS. PERRELL:
Q Mr. Yusuf, this is a request to admit. I don't have the page. I don't remember when this one was done, but whatever the date was this was the response that you gave. Do you have any reason to believe that your response was inaccurate?

A I don't know what -- what it is. Let me see what it was.

Q Well, that's what they have on the screen
there. Number 35. They ask you to admit or deny that as a condition of the plea and the settlement that the federal government removed its lien on the land, the Diamond Keturah land; okay? And then your response was you admit that they removed it, so you admit it insofar as the lien was removed on the subject land as a result of the plea agreement and settlement.

But Yusuf is unable to admit or deny whether the removal was a condition of the plea agreement. In other words, you're saying you know it was removed, but not sure about whether that was required or, you know, how that worked in with the -- whether it was a condition they required. I don't know that part, but my question is --

A I don't know. My lawyer is dealing with this. I honestly --

Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that it's inaccurate, or do you believe that it's appropriate?

A I don't understand the question. That's my problem.

Q Okay.


Q No. After the plea agreement was entered into, and you entered into the settlement in 2010, did they stop monitoring you? Did they withdraw the monitor from the stores?

A Yeah. They stop monitor the stores?
Q Yes.
A I don't know. I know they came in and they -- and they stop, but what time I don't know.

Q Okay. But they did stop the monitoring of the stores?

A One of the monitor -- we have one time monitor of the store, and -- but for -- not too long. Maybe two months or three months. I don't know. I don't remember. I know we used to have a monitor in the store, and sometimes he just come for a day or two and no more monitor. But we went straight -- our book is always open for them to look at, or cash -- that day. Whatever they want.

Q But the question I'm asking is after you entered into a plea agreement and a settlement so that the criminal case was settled -- after the criminal case was settled did they stop monitoring your
financials?

A Yeah.
Q Okay. Thank you.
MR. HARTMANN: So I'd like to next put up Exhibit 83. If you could highlight that top part? I mean, zoom to the top? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, I'm showing you document 83. Do you recognize this as the 2010 Sixteen Plus Corporation tax return filing?
(Exhibit 83 was marked for identification.)

A Yes.
Q And can you see down there at the bottom in the middle when it was actually filed? What day it went into the Bureau of Internal Revenue?

A That's October 28, 1997.
Q Down below where the stamp is where it says August 19, 2011?

A Yeah. Yeah. I -- now I seeing it.
Q Okay. Good.
MR. HARTMANN: Could you go to the
bottom of that page?
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q So on August 19, 2011, nine months after the settlement and the plea agreement were done, this document was submitted to the Virgin Islands Bureau of Internal Revenue. And do you recognize the person signing it?

A Yeah. That is my signature, but I don't know what is it -- the --

Q Okay. And what date did you sign this tax return?

A I signed this tax return based on what the -- they submit to me.

Q But did you sign it on August 18, 2011, as it says right --

A Yes. Yeah.
Q Yes. And did you sign it as the secretary/treasurer of 2016 Corporation?

A Yes.
Q I mean Sixteen Plus Corporation? I'm sorry.
I'll re-ask it.
A So -- go ahead.

Q Did you sign it as the secretary/treasurer of Sixteen Plus Corporation?

A Yes.

> MR. HARTMANN: Okay. If you could
please flip over to page 4? And if you could highlight the area from lines 12 through 20? Zoom. I'm sorry. Yeah. But highlight would be fine. Either one. But also zoom. Thank you very much. Sorry for making that difficult.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Now this is a document that you signed and submitted to the tax authority in August of 2011, after the plea agreement and settlement, and after the removal of the monitors from the store. And could you look at line 12? And at line 12 it lists the land that the company Sixteen Plus claims as an asset. It says that it has land worth $\$ 4,596,159$. And is that still the Diamond Keturah land?

A I would assume so. Yes.
Q Okay. Now I'm going to ask you to look at line 20 where it says what mortgages, notes, bonds, does the company have outstanding. In other words,
did the company owe any loans or mortgages to any outsiders. And could you tell me how much money is entered into line 20 there?

A Line -- what -- we owe the four and a half million dollar to --

Q I'm asking you to say to me is there any amount issued as money owed to anybody for mortgages or notes on line 20 , or is that line blank?

A It's not written. We owe it, but it's not written.

Q Okay. It's blank; right?
A Blank. Yes.
Q Okay. And in the line above it, line 19, where it says loans from shareholders, $\$ 4,710,626$. Do you see that?

A Yeah. I see that.
Q Okay. Now you told me when $I$ was examining you with regard to the earlier tax returns that that was a mistake made by your accountant and your accountant corrected it immediately. That --

A This is --
Q That is incorrect --

MS. PERRELL: Objection. I'm sorry.
Go ahead. Finish.
MR. HARTMANN: I'll withdraw the question and I'll ask it.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q You said to me that that had been corrected by your accountant, did you not?

A This is corrected by John Gaffney. He --
Q Okay. But in what year did John Gaffney correct this?

A I have no idea.
Q Okay. Well, he hadn't corrected it by August of 2011, had he?

A I -- no. I -- whatever it is is there, but I know -- I know we owe four and a half million plus the interest.

Q Okay. And at the time that you listed in your tax return which you signed in 2011 after federal supervision had ended that there was $\$ 4,710,626$ due to shareholders who were the shareholders then?

A The shareholders is four of us. I mean, two family. 50 percent, 50 percent.

Q Okay.
A This is -- is written just -- written false because if Walley or his father invest, show me his canceled check they paid to Manal. I don't have anything to show because $I$ never paid for anything to Manal. I mean, this was purchased with Manal money, and show me that a check went to Manal or to Sixteen Plus for Sixteen Plus pay Manal. We still owe the money. Walley and his -- his accountant is very honest. God bless him, he pass away, but he's -unfortunate he put whatever Walley tell him, which is -- this is not the CPA rule with no -- with no canceled check.

Q You said that you and Walley didn't give any money for this, that Manal did. Isn't it in fact true that you and Walley gave that money to Isam, and Isam simply leant it back to the company?

A I have never seen we give any money to Isam. That Isam -- we never give him one dollar. We don't have any money.

Q Okay. When you say you didn't have any money let's return to that 62 million dollars. Isn't
it true that you and Walley took 62 million dollars out of the corporation, took it off United's books, out of Plaza Extra, and sent it to Saint Martin?

A That is not true.
MS. PERRELL: Objection. Objection. That's fifth amendment.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Strike his answer
under the objection.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. I'll now ask you to take a look at Exhibit 85.

MR. HARTMANN: And if you could zoom in
on the top of that?
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, do you recognize this as another of the annual reports submitted by Sixteen Plus Corporation?
(Exhibit 85 was marked for identification.)

A I don't remember anything. All is when you show me my signature if it's mine I'll tell you it's mine, but $I$ don't remember anything and how it's there
and who puts it. Walley was in charge of all these numbers.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And if the court reporter could highlight the -- I think it's the seventh line down. It says fiscal year covered by the last report filed, and it shows 12/31/2010. And the next line, fiscal year covered by this report. Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, do you see the second of those highlighted lines there that says fiscal year covered by this report is 12/11/2011?

A Yeah.
Q Okay. So this is the annual report of Sixteen Plus for the year of 2011.

MR. HARTMANN: And if we could zoom down to the bottom of the page? Stop. Thank you. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Over on the right can you see the stamp saying that it was submitted on September 21, 2012?

MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight that stamp, please?

BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Do you see that stamp, Mr. Yusuf?
A I seeing it.
Q Okay. And do you agree that this report was filed on September 21, 2012?

A Yeah. I would assume so. Yes.
Q Okay. So the settlement and plea agreement were entered into in 2010, and this is a report now being submitted in 2012. In fact, down below that --

MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight the date and his signature, please? You can get both signatures if it's easier. There you go. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. So do you see your signature on this document?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So you along with Waleed Hamed submitted this document on 9/5/2012 to the Virgin Islands government as a representation of the financial status of Sixteen Plus Corporation; is that correct?

A Walley is the one did all that work. He
just bring me that paper and he -- I know where to sign, and I did sign. I did -- I did not -- I overlooked the numbers. I will trust in Walley. I never know Walley to play these kind of games.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. If we could move to page 3, please? And scroll it down just a little bit and then highlight the section from liabilities down to the total of that? Great. That's perfect. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. In that report that you filed and signed with regard to the year 2011, under Liabilities and Shareholder's Equities did you report that there were shareholder's loans of $\$ 4,710,626 ?$

A I seeing it there, but now I want to see the canceled check.

Q So are you saying that you don't believe that you filed this document?

A I myself never pay any money. If I don't pay I would assume Hamed did not pay. This is a funny numbers.

Q Mr. Yusuf, your client has invoked the fifth amendment as to where this money comes from, so I
can't question you about that, so I'm not questioning you about it. What I'm questioning you about is in a report to the Virgin Islands government, Lieutenant Governor's office, did you sign a document and submit it in 2012 stating that in 2011 the company had shareholder loans in the amount of $\$ 4,710,626 ?$ I'm not asking you where it came from or anything about it. I'm simply asking did you file the document and does the document say that the company had shareholder loans in that amount?

A I did not file. I signed it, but I did not file it. I signed it by mistake. I trust Walley, and he was doing it with his accountant. Unfortunately the accountant, Mr. O'Neill, Pablo O'Neill, who pass away, he normally doesn't look for proof of what you put in the -- on the -- if -- he never see a canceled check I'm sure. Never from me. And I'm sure Walley and -- or his father or his brother cannot show a single check was paid to Sixteen Plus. I --

Q And would he have a canceled check if the money was provided to Isam and Manal in the form of hundred- and fifty-dollar bills deposited into your
and Hamdan Diamond's accounts?
A Look, I don't deal with Isam except asking for that loan.

Q Well, somebody -- you have admitted --
A I -- please let me finish, please. I never received the transfer. Walley's the one wo received it.

Q Okay. Somebody deposited many, many hundred-thousand-dollar deposits, millions of dollars, into your bank account in Saint Martin; is that correct?

A I would assume Walley -- did it.
MS. PERRELL: Hang on. Just a minute, Mr. Yusuf. Same objection.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. All right. If we could now take a look at Exhibit 86? If you could zoom in on the top? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q And I'm showing you now Sixteen Plus's annual report, and it states that the fiscal year covered by this report is 2011. Oh. Wait a second. / /

|  | Page 102 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (Exhibit 4 was marked for |
| 2 | identification.) |
| 3 | MR. HARTMAN: Oh. I'm sorry. Exhibit |
| 4 | number 4, please. Okay. If you could zoom in on the |
| 5 | top of that? |
| 6 | BY MR. HARTMANN: |
| 7 | Q Mr. Yusuf, I'm now showing you Exhibit 4, |
| 8 | which is a bill, an invoice, to Sixteen Plus |
| 9 | Corporation dated August 6, 2015, from Source |
| 10 | Accounting, LLC. Do you see that at the top? |
| 11 | A Source Accounting, LLC. Lakeland, Florida. |
| 12 | I see. |
| 13 | Q Okay. And could you tell me who Source |
| 14 | Accounting is? |
| 15 | A I honestly don't know who it is. |
| 16 | Q Do you know that it's actually John Gaffney? |
| 17 | A It could be John Gaffney connected. |
| 18 | Q Okay. So John Gaffney's company sent you an |
| 19 | invoice dated August 6, 2015, and in it he says in the |
| 20 | line down there he has tax preparation fees. Do you |
| 21 | see that? |
| 22 | A What -- what -- |

MR. HERPEL: Can you move it up slightly?

THE WITNESS: Raise it up, please?
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Could you please highlight in yellow the tax preparation fees line? Thank you.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Do you see that this company has invoiced Sixteen Plus Corporation for preparing its 2016 taxes?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And could you explain who John Gaffney is?

A He was working as an accountant with us. I believe he might come back to work. Just for now, for the past two months, he's never around.

Q Okay.
A And normally -- excuse me. He normally when it's come to taxes he have a lady in Florida doing it for him.

Q Okay. And in 2015 John Gaffney was working as a salaried employee for you and United Corporation; is that correct?

A Yeah. Plaza Extra really. I mean, United Corporation doesn't have much -- much work to do.

Q Okay. And is this the John Gaffney that you said corrected your tax and corporate accounting records to correct the shareholder equity and loan mortgage obligations?

A He is the man in charge with -- with taxes or -- or the records too. How much we owe, how much -- but our record doesn't show any payment from me to Sixteen Plus. I'm not -- where the money coming from? I don't have no money.

Q I'm not allowed to talk to you about that money, Mr. Yusuf. I'm sorry.

MR. HARTMANN: Could I now see the bottom of this document? Of this page? Stop. Thank you.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q And now it shows --
MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight in yellow from the summary down to the bottom of this? Okay.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
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Q So under the description of what Mr. Gaffney did for you, did for Sixteen Plus Corporation for 2014, it lists he did the form $10-30$ tax return, he did the federal information worksheet, he did other liabilities; is that correct?

A Yeah.
Q Okay. So Mr. Gaffney, the accountant for United Corporation, your employee, was also billing you separately to do the tax and accounting work for Sixteen Plus; is that correct?

A Yeah. Yeah. And that lady -- I don't know.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. So if we could go to the next page, please?

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. And I'm asking you to look at Exhibit H-Ex-004, which at the top states that it's the 2014 tax return for Sixteen Plus Corporation. Do you see that?

A Yeah. I seeing it.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And if we could scroll down just enough to get the stamp, and if you could highlight the stamp?

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. And do you see that this was filed with the Virgin Islands Collections Department on September 14, 2015?

A Yeah. I see that.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And if we could zoom down now? I mean scroll down. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. At the bottom of this document it's signed. Could you tell me whose signature that is?

A Fathi Yusuf signature. My signature.
Q Okay. And on what date did you sign this?
A It looks like -- I don't know the -- it's August 20-something. I don't know what it -- 19, 15.

Q Could it be 2015?
A 2015. Yes.
Q And in what capacity did you sign this? Was it as the secretary and treasurer?

A Who? Me? I'm the secretary/treasurer.
Q Okay. Good. And this was not being filed by Pablo O'Neill, was it?

A No. This is not by Pablo O'Neill. No.

Q Okay. This was by John Gaffney?
A This is by John Gaffney.
Q Okay. And John Gaffney was hired by you to do this; is that correct?

A He's -- he's an employee, but not like somebody else did it. Not him. Unless the same -cover the same mistakes. Look below, all the way below, there is somebody Lakeland.

Q Okay.
A The -- this is not Mr. Gaffney signature. MR. HARTMANN: If you could go down to page 3 ?

THE WITNESS: That's the lady. MR. HARTMANN: I'm sorry. Page 4. Okay. And if you could scroll down? I'm sorry. It must be page 5. Yep. There we go. And just down a little more? Stop there. And if you could highlight lines 12 through line 20? Okay. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q So in the 2014 tax filing at line 12 it states that Sixteen Plus Corporation had land in the value of $\$ 4,596,159$; is that correct?

A It says so. Yes.
Q And at line 20, where the company reported any outstanding mortgages or notes or bonds to third parties, how much is entered in line 20?

A In what?
Q How much did the company say that it owed in notes and mortgages on line 20?

A Four and a half million dollars.
Q No. Line --
A Oh. Line 20? No. It does not have any -any numbers.

Q Okay. So it's zero for line 20. And at line 19 for loans from shareholders how much does it list?

A 19?
Q Yes.
A Loan from shareholder. I never leant no money. I am part of the shareholder.

Q And this --
A Four and a half million dollars. There was no loan from me. I was not involved in that, and I'm sure my partner is not involved neither.

Q You mean you didn't transfer millions of dollars to Isam Yusuf and into your French banking account and Hamdan Diamond's banking account and then use that money to transfer it from Yusuf back to Sixteen Plus?

A I'm telling to --
MR. HARTMANN: Wait. Wait. Go ahead, Charlotte.

MS. PERRELL: I'm going to let him answer it because this is ridiculous. He can answer that question. You asked him if he transferred any money basically to Isam, the route regardless. It doesn't matter.

MR. HARTMANN: If you allow him to answer this question then $I$ get to ask the follow up questions about means and method. You can't selectively choose to do this. You've invoked on this every single time, and if you don't want to invoke that's fine, but $I$ get means and methods.

MS. PERRELL: Well --
THE WITNESS: We have --
MR. HARTMANN: So either -- wait,

Mr. Yusuf.
MS. PERRELL: Just a minute.
THE WITNESS: A question for me?
MS. PERRELL: Just wait.
MR. HERPEL: Just a moment.
MS. PERRELL: Your question is did you transfer funds, and then you talk about where you think -- basically to Isam is what you're asking?

MR. HARTMANN: I asked him whether he and Waleed took 62 million dollars out of the partnership and transferred some of that money in the amount of millions of dollars through Isam into his accounts in Saint Martin, and then used the money to have Isam transfer it back to Sixteen Plus. It's the same question I've asked about a dozen times, and you've invoked every time. And if you withdraw the invocation I'm going back over all of those.

MS. PERRELL: Okay. May I have a moment?

THE WITNESS: I --
MS. PERRELL: Mr. Yusuf, just a minute. May I have a moment to confer with Stefan?

MR. HARTMANN: Certainly.
MS. PERRELL: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Mr. Hartmann, can you repeat the -- 62 million.

MS. PERRELL: Wait. Okay. Mr. Yusuf, just hold on just a moment. Stefan, I'm going to call you on my cell. Can we just take a quick five-minute break?

MR. HERPEL: Sure.
MS. PERRELL: Okay. Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record. The --

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte. Wait, wait, wait. Don't go off the record.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One second.
MR. HARTMANN: Go back on the record.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're still on.
THE REPORTER: We are still on the record.

MR. HARTMANN: I withdraw the question. I'm not going to play this game with you.

MS. PERRELL: Well, and I'm not --
okay.
MR. HARTMANN: I'm not going to play this game, Charlotte.

MS. PERRELL: No. And I'm not looking to play a game. No. I'm going to put this on the record. This can be not part of your time used. The issue -- your question is whether or not Mr. Yusuf transferred funds to Isam. That question does not invoke any issues relating to a fifth amendment. And so I'm trying to be responsive to you so that you can ask your questions. You may not like the answers, but you can ask those questions.

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, what -MS. PERRELL: You can ask that question --

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, what's happening here is he is repeatedly answering my questions about a notation about shareholders loans by saying there couldn't be a shareholder loan because I have no canceled check. So what I'm answering in response to impeach him is but isn't it true that you took 62 million dollars out of a partnership,
transferred it to the Saint Martin, had it put into your account, someone put it into your accounts, and then Isam moved that money to Sixteen Plus which would not have left a canceled check. So that is not a good defense to this, and I'm trying to get him to acknowledge that.

MS. PERRELL: Okay. Okay.
MR. HARTMANN: Do you want him to answer that track?

MS PERRELL: Okay. I'm not -- Carl -THE WITNESS: So I answer?

MS. PERRELL: Just a minute, Mr. Yusuf. The concern $I$ have is this. Mr. Yusuf can explain the funds and so forth. Now the concern I have is is getting into and asking all kinds of questions about money laundering and all of those various things. That's the part that bothers me. Okay?

MR. HARTMANN: The problem, Charlotte, is that your witness is responding to a question about there not being a loan by saying the reason there isn't a loan is because there's no canceled check. And I am saying there would be no canceled check if
the money was transferred through a money laundering operation.

MS. PERRELL: Okay.
MR. HARTMANN: So he either can't answer that way repeatedly like he is, or $I$ get to inquire. You don't get to let him state his side and then not let me get to examine.

MS. PERRELL: Okay.
MR. HARTMANN: And at this point since I've withdrawn the question why don't we move on?

MS. PERRELL: I'd still like to take a quick five-minute break. Thank you.

MR. HERPEL: Sure. For my part I just would say that $I$ thought the question was legitimate, and whether this question was legitimate or other questions are legitimate the follow ups are a separate issue.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'm not going to do that with you guys. That is absolutely the most ridiculous thing I've ever heard. Follow up questions --

MR. HERPEL: Okay. Because asking one
question automatically makes all follow up questions legitimate? That can't be the rule --

MR. HARTMANN: I'm not going to have this discussion. I've withdrawn the question. I'm going to move to question 30 now.

MS. PERRELL: Okay. I would still like
the break.
MR. HARTMANN: Oh my God.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record. The time is 1:48 p.m. (Off the record.)

MS. PERRELL: Carl, thank you for the break. As I said, I believe that the last question you had asked is not objectionable, however you have withdrawn that question. If I need to follow up with redirect $I$ will do that, and if you believe that that opens a door $I$ will certainly -- you know, we will cross that bridge. But I'm trying to allow you the ability to inquire, so --

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'm now at the request of Attorney Herpel going to discontinue the examination of the 60 to end questions and will return
to those in the continuation of the deposition after the motions. So for those of you following along on the question list I'm returning to question number 30, which is what he says I should start with. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, I'm going to show you a document that has been marked Exhibit 30. Could you take a look at that?
(Exhibit 30 was marked for identification.)

A Yes. I seeing it.
Q Okay. And is this a letter sent from Plaza Extra by you on September 12, 1996?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And who is it addressed to?
A Addressed to the bank manager I believe.
Q Okay. So Mr. --
A Excuse me. Can $I$-- can I explain?
Q Sure.
A Walley want to borrow that money, and the bank refused to allow them to draw from my account until I have that -- signed that letter authorizing
the bank to allow Walley to borrow that money.
Q Okay. So my question was is it addressed to Mr. Alexander Gumbs, the private client advisor for Banque Francaise Commerciale?

A That question for me?
Q Yes. Is your letter written to Mr. Gumbs at BFC?

A Mr. Gumbs I believe is a bank manager.
Q Okay. And this is your letter on Plaza Extra's letterhead by you to him?

A For the bank. Walley want to borrow that money from my account, and the bank got suspicious. He asked me to write a letter, and that's the -- the way writing it. He sent it to me, and $I$ give him exactly what he wanted. That is to help Walley collect -- I think there's more checks around with that.

Q Okay.
A If you go up I think it's more check.
Q Okay. Just to be clear, you are saying that --

A Walley received that money.

Q Just to be clear, you are saying yes this is a letter sent from Plaza Extra on Plaza Extra's letterhead on 12 December 1996 by you to Mr. Alexander Gumbs, the private client counsellor for Banque Francaise Commerciale; is that correct? Is that what this document is?

A Banque Commerciale? What you mean Banque Commerciale?

Q Banque Francaise Commerciale. BFC.
A Yeah. I mean, this is to allow Walley to borrow that money. It's -- to him.

Q But it is a letter that you wrote on Plaza Extra stationary to the bank; is that correct?

A Yeah. It is this. The letter from me, it's -- the Plaza Extra really have nothing to do with that money.

Q Okay.
A And please, that is a personal account, and I use the stationary of the business where I'm working.

Q And so it's written on Plaza Extra stationary because why?

A Because $I$ don't have any stationary in my name privately.

Q Okay. And it authorizes a check to be drawn on account number 046066387790 . Could you tell me whose account that is?

A What is that? What is the amount of the check?

Q Now the amount at the very bottom line of which you could see --

MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight the account number on the bottom line there?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's the same letter.

MR. HARTMANN: Thank you.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Do you see where it's highlighted in yellow?
A Yeah.
Q And what account is that?
A I would assume my account. This is where we have all our money in Saint Martin.

Q Okay. And which accounts did you have in Saint Martin?


MR. HARTMANN: Okay. So if you could now scroll down to the lower part of this document and zoom in on that? Thank you. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Below that it says, in addition -MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight from the words in addition to the end of that paragraph? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Do you see the highlighted section where it says, in addition, please pay check number 3633491 in the amount of two million dollars drawn on Hamdan Diamond Corporation, account number 040606388790 . Do you see where it says that?

A I seeing it.
Q Okay. So you were directing the bank to pay out two million dollars from the BFC Hamdan Diamond Corporation account; is that correct?

A Right. It's -- account --
Q And if $I$ understand what you just said to me you said that this had nothing to do with -MS. PERRELL: Two people are talking. MR. HERPEL: You didn't let him finish
the answer.
THE WITNESS: I said based on Walley request.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay.
A Direct him permission from me to give him these checks to send to Jordan.

Q And just to be clear, previously you said even though this is Hamdan Diamond Corporation's account this really has nothing to do with Hamdan Diamond Corporation; is that correct?

A It have nothing to do with it.
Q Okay. And you said in fact it was an account that who, you and Walley, were using so you had more accounts to transfer money in Saint Martin?

A Yes. So we -- I use my private attorney for Hamdan Diamond, and I open the account for Hamdan Diamond, but really the money was ours to -- to transfer to Jordan.

Q Okay. So let me ask you a question. Where did the two million dollars that was sitting in Hamdan Diamond's account in 1996 come from?

A I don't recall where it's coming from. I would assume Walley took it. And Walley taking the money, deposit in his name, my name, and -- and Diamond name, and then -- Hisham was not with us.

Q Okay. So let me see if I'm correct. During a year in which you made an average of somewhat just north of $\$ 25,000$ you and Walley were able to deposit more than two million dollars in Hamdan Diamond account where Hamdan Diamond Corporation had nothing to do with it so you could launder those funds; is that correct?

MS. PERRELL: Same objection.
MR. HARTMANN: What objection? I want you to state on the record what your objection is and what part of it you're not allowing him to answer.

MS. PERRELL: The issue is the
laundering issue. That is my issue, Carl. That is the fifth amendment privilege. I don't want Mr. Yusuf answering questions that relate to issues infused with the concept of money laundering. And your question has that --

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'll break up my
question into parts.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Did you and Walley Hamed deposit two million dollars into the Hamdan Diamond Corporation account in 1996?

A Not me. Walley did it.
Q Okay. And where did Walley get that money?
A I would assume from our business.
Q What business?
A The Plaza Extra business.
Q Okay. So Walley got two million dollars from the Plaza Extra business and deposited it into this Hamdan Diamond Corporation account. Is that your testimony?

A That's -- that's correct.
Q Okay. And did you know that he had taken that money from Plaza Extra Corporation and deposited it in the Hamdan Diamond Corporation account?

A That's what it looks like.
Q Did you know? Did you personally understand that he was taking millions of dollars out of Plaza Extra Corporation and depositing them into the Hamdan

Diamond account, into the Waleed Hamed account, and into the Fathi Yusuf account in Saint Martin?

A Yeah. We send some money to the -- some money to Saint Martin, but -- never heard of ten million dollar. That's all.

Q Okay. How many million?
A I don't know how much it is, but it's not as you say 62 million. And $I$ will buy in cash.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'm going to ask the reporter to read back his prior response about ten million dollars.

THE REPORTER: Please stand by.
(The reporter played the record as requested.)

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q At the bottom of this letter you say that the two checks had been issues and signed by Mr. Waleed Hamed, but you knew that Waleed Hamed was obtaining two million dollars in cash out of the Hamdan Diamond Corporation, and $\$ 400,000$ out of your account in this transaction; did you not? You were the one telling Mr. Gumbs; correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And did Walley -- I'm trying to think of a good word for launder. Did Walley move those funds out of those accounts into cash?

A No. No. He don't -- that -- that's supposed to -- supposed to send it to Jordan, but unfortunately somebody's fund is went straight to him.

Q All I'm asking is did you authorize BFC Bank to pay out to Walley Hamed $\$ 400,000$ and two million dollars as this letter states? Did you know about it, did you approve it, and did you direct them to do so?

A I didn't know about it. Yes. And by check, not cash.

Q Okay. And when you did this did you know that Walley was going to use -- that that money -strike that. When you directed the bank to provide 2.4 million dollars did you understand that that money would be used to buy real estate?

A In Jordan.
Q But you understood that he was going to use it to buy real estate; is that correct?

A In Jordan. Yes.

Q I understand that, but you understood that he was going to use it to buy real estate; is that correct?

A Yes. In Jordan.
MS. PERRELL: Objection. Okay. I was going to say asked and answered, but it's fine.

MR. HARTMANN: I'm going to keep asking him until he answers it.

MS. PERRELL: Well, he is answering it,
Carl. He is saying real estate in Jordan.
MR. HARTMANN: Then I'd like him to say that.

MS. PERRELL: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Mr. Yusuf, was it used to buy only real estate? Was it used to buy anything else?

A No. I did not buy anything else. No.
Q Okay. So was all of the money that was going through Saint Martin in this manner going through Saint Martin to use it to buy real estate?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And you said that when you were using
the Hamdan Diamond Corporation account to do this that Hamdan Diamond Corporation didn't know anything about this; is that correct?

A No. They didn't know.
Q They didn't know. Okay. And who created the Hamdan Diamond Corporation?

A Me and who -- this --
Q Who formed the Hamdan Diamond Corporation?
A This is account -- the Hamdan Diamond is not even aware of it.

Q Okay.
A We open it because they have power of attorney on it.

Q Okay. So you --
A And -- the power of attorney.
Q And when you say we who was that?
A Excuse me, sir?
Q Who is the we that created the Hamdan Diamond account to provide the transfer of this cash for the purchase of real estate? Who did it? You and who else?

A Me and Walley did it.

Q Okay. Thank you. And did you use that money all to buy real estate? In other words, has the money all been spent that was transferred through Saint Martin?

MS. PERRELL: Objection. Vague. Real estate where?

MR. HARTMANN: He's already said it was
in Jordan.
MS. PERRELL: Okay. Just to be clear.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Was all the money that's transferred through
Saint Thomas at Saint Martin, has it all been spent?
A All of it. 100 percent.
Q Okay. And was it all spent --
A Oh. Wait a minute. I -- I forget something. They went -- the -- some for real estate. The majority of it was real estate, and we donate one million dollar to open up a complete company, but unfortunately Walley have sent two million dollars, and say I send one. That's what -- that's the time when I catch Walley really stealing. And his father.

So --
Q Okay. And this money that was being transferred through Saint Martin that Hamdan Diamond Corporation didn't know about, just to be clear, you've testified previously that large amounts of money, hundreds, thousand dollars at a time in fifties and hundred-dollar bills, that that was being put into your account, but you didn't know who was putting it in or how they were putting it in; is that correct?

A No. I would assume Walley knows.
Q Okay. But you don't --
A I didn't -- most likely Walley. I don't know if anybody else was helping Walley. I don't know. Ask that question to Walley, please.

Q Okay. And just to be clear, that answer was about your personal account in Saint Martin. I'm going to now ask you the same question about the Hamdan Diamond account and Walley's account. Do you know -- is your answer the same that you don't know who was actually physically depositing the fifty- and hundred-dollar bills in those two accounts?

A I said money being transferred by Walley --
the Virgin Island. Not me.
Q Okay. And did you ever carry money yourself on a plane to Saint Martin?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Okay. And --
A I just carry on the plane personal expense. Money to -- to go to the bank -- account was open when I took the plane. I don't --

Q Okay. So these deposits that you don't know how they happened, that we have to ask Walley about, which you --

A Ask Walley about it.
Q Yeah. Those accounts, you never took any of the money for those accounts to Saint Martin yourself personally by plane; is that correct?

A No. No.
Q Okay. And did you ever arrange --
MR. HERPEL: Real quick can we clear the record on that? Well, to make sure what he meant by no? Could you ask the question again?

MR. HARTMANN: Stefan, why don't you just go ahead and ask the questions? Go ahead.

MR. HERPEL: Can we read back the question and answer and make sure that answer is clear?

MR. HARTMANN: No, no. Go ahead. Just ask the question.

MR. HERPEL: I don't know the question.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. But you're pretty
sure it was unclear?
MR. HERPEL: I don't have an
encyclopedic recall of the question. No. Can we read it back?

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Read back the question.

THE REPORTER: Please stand by.
(The reporter played the record as requested.)

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q You personally never carried or arranged for any of the money deposited into any of the three accounts, your account, Walley's account, or the Hamdan Diamond Corporation account, you never personally either instructed someone to deposit funds
into those accounts or took money yourself and deposited those funds; is that correct?

A No. I -- I don't recall.
Q Okay. And did you ever take funds to be deposited into those three accounts to Isam Yusuf to deposit?

A No. Definitely no.
Q Okay. And did you ever pack money into a shipping container, or have other people packed money into a shipping container containing mattresses to be shipped to Isam Yusuf to deposit into those accounts?

A No. No. I never sent no containers with mattresses.

Q Okay.
A I'm not in the mattress business.
Q So just to be clear, you knew that Walley Hamed was taking millions of dollars out of Plaza Extra and taking him to Saint Martin, but you're not sure exactly how that money then got from Walley into those three bank accounts; is that correct?

A Well, he take them form the store.
Q Right.

A I didn't know.
Q But do you know how it got from him taking it to the store actually physically into those three accounts?

A I don't know.
Q You do know, or you don't know?
A I don't know.
Q Oh. You don't know. Okay. So the only person who could inform us on that would be Walley Hamed; is that correct?

A Walley.
Q Okay. Thank you very much. I'm now going to move onto the next question.

MR. HARTMANN: Exhibit 32, please. No.
I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Exhibit 33. And if you could zoom in on the top?

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Mr. Hamed [sic], I'm asking you too look at this exhibit.
(Exhibit 33 was marked for identification.)

MR. HARTMANN: Could we once again go
to the top just so we see the exhibit number first? I'm sorry.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. I'm now showing you Exhibit Number 33.

MR. HARTMANN: Now you can zoom back. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Do you recognize what this document is?

A I -- no. I don't recognize it. I don't know. This is Hamdan Diamond Corporation, but I -the customers $I$ don't know who it is. $N-O-U$--$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{S}$ Informons. I don't know.

Q That says in French for the information of Hamed Diamond Corporation.

A Hamdan Diamond Corporation was -- they never have a two million dollar in the account. Nothing that $I$ ever know of.

Q Okay. We've seen a letter from you to Mr. --

A Now I see Isam Yousuf there. That's Isam Yousuf. I don't know who have that. Hamdan Diamond
have nothing to do with Isam Yousuf.
Q Okay. Let's just answer my questions one at a time; okay? First of all do you recognize this as a BFC account statement?

A No. Not this one.
Q This is not a BFC account statement?
A I'm not -- the -- is not. I have never seen it in the past.

Q Okay. But it is a BFC account statement. You've received those and this looks like all of those; is that correct?

A I tell you I never seen this before.
Q Okay. Whether or not you've ever seen it before does it say on it's face on the right side -MR. HARTMANN: Will you highlight the words Hamdan Diamond Corporation in yellow, please? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Does it say that it is being sent to Hamdan Diamond Corporation?

A This is my brother Hamdan Diamond Corporation. That's my brother own.

Q Okay. And this statement was sent to Isam

Yousuf; is that correct?
A Yeah. I see this is going to Isam, and -and --

Q Okay. And it --
A -- on top is owned by his father.
Q Okay. And it relates that a check was written on September 17th of 1996, doesn't it? Do you see that?

A Where?
Q Down below.
MR. HARTMANN: Could you highlight the date 17.09.96, please?

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't see nothing but -- I don't see. Maybe you have to raise up. Oh. 17.09.96. That date? You're looking for a date? BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. That's right.
A September 17, '96.
Q Okay. So --
A I tell you I never saw this before.
Q And does it relate that a withdrawal was made, a debit was made, in the amount of two million
dollars?
A That's what it looks like.
Q Okay. Is this the two million dollars that you told Mr. Gumbs to cash out to Walley Hamed?

A No. This is not the -- not -- not the same two million dollars. I -- Diamond -- my brother don't even know this account at that bank in his company name.

Q And if your brother didn't know Isam wouldn't know, would he?

A No one knows.
Q Okay. Now who --
A Just me and Walley.
Q Okay. So Isam wouldn't have known anything about this account, nor would your brother, because it was you and Walley using the Hamdan Diamond Corporation's bank account; correct?

A Hamdan Diamond is -- I use it to put some money, our money. My brother don't -- he was not aware of using his company name.

Q Okay. And when you say our money you mean you and Walley's money? The money from Plaza Extra?

A Yes. The Plaza Extra money.
Q The money from Plaza Extra; is that correct?
A Yes. Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'm going to move
now to the next question.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Now the day before that -- I'm sorry. Four days before that on September -- that check was written, we've seen, on September 9th; okay? And on September --

A $\quad 17 \mathrm{th}$.

Q I'm sorry. September 17th. Okay. And four days prior to that on September 13th, okay, 1996, was Scotia Bank the successful bidder on the foreclosure sale for the Diamond Keturah property? I'd ask you to look --

MR. HARTMANN: We're going to put up Exhibit 32 for a second.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, if you'd look at Exhibit 32 it's captioned Assignment of Certificate of Sale.
(Exhibit 32 was marked for identification.)

A Yeah.
Q And I'm going to read just the first line. Whereas, a foreclosure sale was held on September 13, 1996 in the Office of the Territorial Court Mashal, Kingshill, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands in connection with that certain action pertaining to the Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands -- I'll skip the title and go to the case number -- 746/1992, and with respect to those certain plots and parcels of land more full described in Exhibit A. Then it says, Whereas the Bank of Nova Scotia was the successful bidder of said sale.

Now do you recall that the Bank of Nova Scotia on or about September 13, 1996, purchased what we now call the Diamond Keturah property?

A I know they bought it, but all the -- the foreclose item and -- bidding -- but I don't know what day.

Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that the filings with the court were wrong as to the
date? Do you have any reason to believe it was not September 13, 1996?

A No. The -- I have to read the way it is. If it's -- I don't expect it to.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. So I'll move onto
the next question. I'm repeating that in the record for anybody that's following along on the question list. We're now at question 34.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Do you know whether on October 28, 1996, the foreclosure sale on Diamond Keturah was confirmed by an order of the Territorial Court? I'd ask you to look at Exhibit 34 which is now being put on the screen.
(Exhibit 34 was marked for identification.)

Have you had a chance to look at Exhibit 34?
A I'm looking at it, but $I$ don't know what to look for.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Would you scroll down on this document, please? Okay. Stop there. And would you highlight the sentence that starts
whereas the sale was confirmed by order of the Territorial Court entered on October 28, 1996?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I seeing that. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. So do you have any reason to believe that the foreclosure sale of Diamond Keturah to the bank was not confirmed on the 28th of October 1996?

A I can't say. I can't say yes or no. What it is is what it is.

Q Okay. And if you look at the next paragraph it says that in connection with the afore-described foreclosure sale the assistant marshal of the Virgin Islands issued a certificate of sale to the Bank of Nova Scotia. Do you see that?

A Yeah. I seeing it.
Q Okay. And at this time when this property was being purchased by Bank of Nova Scotia did you know about the fact that the Bank of Nova Scotia was buying the Diamond Keturah property?

A Repeat the question, please?
Q Yes. At the time that the Bank of Nova Scotia was foreclosing and obtaining a bill of sale
for the Diamond Keturah property did you know that that was taking place? Did you know that the bank was foreclosing on the property?

A Yeah. I heard from a real estate agent.
Q Okay. And did you talk to Walley Hamed or anybody else about the idea that you wanted to buy that property in that foreclosure sale?

A I didn't say $I$ want to buy it. I say that let's go and take a look at it.

Q Okay. And after you said let's go and take a look at it did you eventually make the decision to buy the property?

A Not me alone. I -- me and Walley.
Q Okay. So you and Walley decided to buy the property at about the time that the Bank of Nova Scotia was foreclosing on it; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And so when you and Walley had these discussions about the bank is going to foreclose how much did you think you could buy the property for, and how did you get that impression?

A No -- we ask the bank how much you want for
it. The bank have bought it four and a half million. They say all they want is to recover my money. But if you want to buy it you have to put some down payments. And, well, $I$ can't sell it to you now, but $I$ can promise you the property will be yours if you come up with the money.

Q Okay. And --
A -- so they -- for the owner to -- to claim his properties.

Q Okay. So now I'm going to imagine a conversation between you and Walley, and you tell -- I know it's not the real one, but $I$ want you to tell me what the real one was. So you say to Walley, Walley, this is a great idea. Walley says, yes, Fathi, I agree it's a great idea. And the two of you talk about how good an idea it is. And then one of you says to the other, well, this is going to cost just over four million dollars to buy. And then one of you says to the other, well, where are we going to get four million dollars in the beginning of 1997 when you and I are only making about $\$ 26,000$ a year that we're reporting to the tax authorities. Okay.

How did that conversation go? How did you two discuss you would find this?

A No. It's the -- it's the -- we -- I know we don't have the money, but $I$ know my brother have a lot of money. And there is a situation with one of his daughters. She's married and -- and she's married for many, many years, and at this time she become pregnant she lose her baby, and unfortunately she lost about five or six of them, and all are boys. So her father was really, really worried about her, and her future.

She's trying to get babies, and her husband want babies, but unfortunately each time she get pregnant she lost her baby. And he want to -- what can he do about it? Because her husband, eventually he want to look for baby, and my daughter, she can't carry it. She will end up losing her husband. I say, well it's --

Q But I'm confused now.
MS. PERRELL: Well, let him finish the answer.

THE WITNESS: You -- seems to me that he understand with the whole story. Allow me to
finish, sir, Mr. Hartmann.
I told him the best thing is buy her business, or -- husband a business, but put the business in her name. Or, you know, he put the four and a half million dollar. I don't know really how much he put, but $I$ find out later that he put money, but I don't know how much. And it's none of my business to know how much it really is. But when this comes up I call Isam.

He say, yes, we have four or four and a half million dollar. I don't know. I don't remember how much he told. I say, would you loan it to us? That's a good deal, and $I$ think we can flip it and make money. He says, fine, Fathi, whatever you want. He's -- goes, I even put in the contract she have shares of the income if we sells it. And based on that $I$ put in 500,000 depending on Isam when we ready. We don't know when we're ready. Maybe six months later. He will -- he will come up with the -- what amount we need. That's it.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. Now at the beginning of that answer
you said you guys didn't have the money to buy it, but in fact you had millions of dollars sitting in three French bank accounts, didn't you?

A We don't have millions of dollars. We merely pass millions of dollars through that bank. But we don't have millions of dollars at that time. And we -- we have -- the goal is to buy more and more land in Jordan. And --

Q But on the day --
MR. HERPEL: Let him finish his answer,
please.
THE WITNESS: Go ahead.
MR. HERPEL: Did you finish your
answer?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it -- we sent about roughly ten million dollar into Jordan to buy properties, and we did use it for properties. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. But on the day -- well, three days around the day that this was being foreclosed you coincidentally -- the sale of the Diamon Keturah property was being closed. You coincidentally had 2.4
million dollars sitting in bank accounts on Saint Martin, which you took out at the exact same time the foreclosure sale took place; isn't that true?

A If I took money from Saint Martin? Where -where I went with that money?

Q Well, that's what I'm asking. At the exact same time -- well, let me ask a different question. Strike that. Let's ask this. When you talked to the bank about how you might buy this property did you say if you get the property in January or February I'll give you all 4.5 million dollars right then, or did you say to them I'll give you two and a half million dollars?

A I don't recall the negotiation we have.
Q Okay.
A I mean, this is -- you're talking about 15 years ago.

Q But when you did close that deal how much cash did you give them in January and February of 1997?

A I understand Walley get wife's 2 million dollar. That was --

Q So when you paid the bank in January and February of 1997 you said Walley did it, but the amount you gave them was two and a half million dollars, wasn't it?

A I -- see, Walley is the one who receive all the money. Walley is the one who pay the bank. I didn't even sign the check to the bank.

Q Okay. So --
A Walley -- the one to answer the question.
Q So I understand that you didn't do it. I understand that you say that Walley handled all of the money being paid to the bank for Diamond Keturah property. But I'm asking do you understand that the amount that was given to the bank at that time in the first of the payments was 2.5 million dollars?

MS. PERRELL: Objection. I think
that --
THE WITNESS: Walley --
MS. PERRELL: Wait, Mr. Yusuf. Wait, Mr. Yusuf. I believe that misstates the evidence, but Mr. Yusuf, to the extent you know you can answer. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Mr. Yusuf, do you know how much money was paid to the Bank of Nova Scotia in January and February of 1997 for the first of the two payments for Diamond Keturah? Do you know how much it was paid?

A I have no idea. Walley would know.
Q Okay.
A He's the one made the payment.
Q But if the documents, the transfer documents and the receipts and the documents with the bank, showed some amount you would agree that that was the correct amount?

A No. I would not --
MS. PERRELL: Objection. Objection.
That calls for a speculation. You're not showing him any particular documents.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q You can answer, Mr. Yusuf. Do you dispute that money was paid in January and February? MS. PERRELL: Same objection, Carl. That really misstates the evidence. I know where you're going with it, but it's not -- but I'm just -MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, you've got to
stop the rolling objections. The objection is --
MS. PERRELL: But the problem is, Carl, is that your questions --

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, the objection
is object and then a one sentence statement of what the objection is.

MS. PERRELL: It misstates the evidence and it is wrong.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Thank you.

MS. PERRELL: The question is wrong. So I'm just --

MR. HARTMANN: Thank you. I appreciate your help in this deposition.

MS. PERRELL: And I'm not trying to be obstructive is my point. I'm not trying to be difficult. I'm just telling you that question is incorrect. So --

MR. HARTMANN: Charlotte, I'm not trying to -- just for your information, I'm not trying to figure out how much was paid. I'm trying to get Mr. Yusuf to say he doesn't understand. Now thanks to
you he's repeated it several times, and he's also said that he had nothing to do with it, so the only person that can testify about it is Walley Hamed. So he's knocked himself out as a witness to this transaction. He has no recollection, he has no memory, but I appreciate your assistance after my 43 years of practice. I do.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. So, Mr. Hamed [sic], I'll ask you the question again. Do you personally know at this time, as you sit here, how much was paid to the bank in the January, February 1997 period for the purchase of the Diamond Keturah property?

MS. PERRELL: Same objection.
A I -- I look at -- we -- after we bought it we receive two transfer. Walley receive two transfer. One some time in February, and one some -- two million in February, and, like, two million in September. That's seven months apart. I did not receive none of it. Walley receive both. Walley dealing with the bank.

Q Okay. So that's not the question I'm
asking. I'm not asking you what the money transfer was. I'm asking you do you now today as you sit here -- you're a witness today. Do you know right now how much the bank was paid in January and February in that first installment for the purchase of the Diamond Keturah land?

A I have no idea how much Walley paid at that time.

Q Okay. Thank you. And so the only person that could testify or give information about that would be Walley Hamed; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And who made the arrangement with Isam for Isam to transfer that money to the Sixteen Plus account on Saint Croix?

A I know 'cause he had the capacity to help us, but after that everything Walley --

Q Okay. So you --
A -- to Walley. Not me.
Q Okay. So you had no discussions, contact, or any kind of communications with Isam about the two million that was transferred, about how it was
transferred, about where it came from, or about what it was being used for; is that correct?

A Zero communication between me and Isam.
Q Okay. Thank you.
A About how and why they sent it.
Q Thank you. Okay. Now after Walley had withdrawn the 2.4 million dollars from the account in Saint Martin, after that occurred and after the bank foreclosed, did there come a time on January 11, 1997, when you and Walley had contacted Andy Simpson, a lawyer, and Andy Simpson sent Walley a memo regarding the shareholder agreement for the formation of Sixteen Plus Corporation? Were you involved in the January 1997 setting up of Sixteen Plus Corporation?

A What year?
Q January 1997.
A I -- I don't know when the -- it get set up.
Q Okay. I'll ask you to look at Exhibit 35, please.
(Exhibit 35 was marked for identification.)

Okay. Exhibit 35 is a memorandum to Walley Hamed
from Andy Simpson, Re: Sixteen Plus Corporation, dated January 15, 1997, which $I$ will represent to you Walley Hamed stated was sent to him by Andy Simpson on or about January 15, 1997; okay? In it they discuss setting up the corporation, the Sixteen Plus Corporation. What I'm asking you is were you involved in the setting up of this corporation in January of 1997?

A I don't recall.
Q Okay. And do you know if at the time it was originally being set up it was intended that Mike Yusuf would be the president and would be an officer of the company?

A My son never involved in any outside business like Sixteen Plus or any other business. He's never an officer. I see some documents saying he's the president. That is not true. And I see a document say that his mother is the vice president. That's not true. The president is Mohammed Hamed, and he signed the loan as the president. You can't have --- America is of 385 millions, only have one president. Chine, one and a half billions, only one
president.
Q Okay.
A -- Corporation have two -- same time? MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'll ask the court reporter to highlight in yellow the second sentence of the letter which starts with the word I. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. This sentence states, "I am putting together a shareholder agreement in which all shareholders pledge to allow you and Mike to run the corporation." Did you know that there were discussions going on with a lawyer that Mike would run the corporation?

A Nothing that $I$ know of. And by the way, I would like to add a comment. I don't remember Attorney Simpson, that he was representing us. I believe Carl Dexter is the only one. I am not too sure is Andy Simpson is the one set up the company.

Q Okay. So let me ask the question in a different way. If there were discussions with Attorney Simpson going on, and if agreements were being drawn up that would make Mike one of the people
who were going to run the corporation, you didn't know anything about that; is that correct?

A No. I -- I know the three director is as follow. Mohammed Hamed is the president, Walley Hamed is the vice president, Fathi Yusuf as the secretary/treasurer. No son of mine, and no wife.

Q Okay. Let me ask you the question a slightly different way. When the corporation was set up, right, in 1997, do you know anything about what documents were done or who did them?

A I tell you, Walley is the one is supposed to -- the company, and I tell you the -- the three -- the three director. And now you telling me my son. I don't know how my sons were going to be a president, and without my knowledge, and they did not sign the note. Mohammed Hamed signed as the president because he is the president. You can't have two presidents at the same company.

Q Okay. Is your son Mike a shareholder of Sixteen Plus Corporation?

A If what? What the question, sir?
Q Is Mike Yusuf, your son, the person that's
being discussed in this memo --
A Yeah.
Q Is he a shareholder of the corporation?
A He a shareholder. Yes. He's a -- I think he has 10 percent.

Q And you're a shareholder?
A Yeah. I believe I got 30.
Q And Walley's a shareholder?
A Yes. A shareholder is different to them and a director.

Q Okay. And if Mike Yusuf presently holds seven shares of stock in the corporation --

A Okay.
Q Who decided that Mike would get seven shares?

A I decide Mike and his brother will get seven share each.

Q Okay. And did you have to decide that when the company was being formed?

A Who?
Q Did you have to decide who was going to get the shares at the time Sixteen Plus Corporation was
being formed?
A All I know the 16 percent -- I mean, the -the Sixteen Plus is 100 percent shares. Okay. 50 percent of that 100 is the Hamed family. Mohammed Hamed can go to whoever he wants. And the other 50 percent is Fathi Yusuf family. I -- I put anybody I want.

Q Okay. And at the time the corporation was being set up did Mike understand that he was getting shares of the corporation?

A I don't -- I don't remember all this.
Q Okay. And --
A I never discussed it with him.
Q Okay. Did you ever discuss with Walley that Mike was getting shares?

A Anything I moved I discussed with Walley, but not with my son.

Q Okay. And if Andy Simpson was not the attorney who did the corporate document setting up Sixteen Plus do you know what other attorney might have done it?

A -- question, please?

Q Do you know if -- you said you weren't sure if Andy Simpson did the documents to set up Sixteen Plus Corporation. Do you know what other attorney might have?

A No. All I know my -- our attorney, the one deal with, is Carl Dexter. Carl Dexter was a member of a law firm. I don't remember the name. It -- of the -- the -- at the same time of -- before or after Andy Simpson, Attorney Simpson, is working for the same law firm. After several years they are split. They no longer -- I don't think none of them work with the same firm. I forget their name. And there was a company street. I don't know -- street. I don't -- I don't remember the name 'cause $I$ don't use that company no more.

Q Okay. I'm moving now to Number 38.
(Exhibit 38 was marked for identification.)

MR. HARTMANN: And I'd ask the witness to be shown Exhibit 38.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. And I'll represent to you that this
is a response to a request for admissions that you filed in this case. I'm sorry, you filed in the 342 case, or related case, and you were asked whether you could recall any communications with the Bank of Nova Scotia when the funds were transferred to Sixteen Plus's account. And you answered that you do not recall any communications with the Bank of Nova Scotia when the funds were transferred, but you have seen the documents relating to the February and September 1997 transfers; is that a correct statement? That you do not recall communications with the Bank of Nova Scotia when the funds were transferred to the Sixteen Plus account?

A It was -- any communication would be Walley and the bank.

Q Okay. Thank you. Moving to the next question. I'm going to show you Exhibit 39 and ask you now as you sit here now do you know that on January 16th of 1997, even though it hadn't been formed as a corporation yet, that Sixteen Plus became the client of Brammer, Chasen, O'Neill CPAs?
/ /
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| 1 | (Exhibit 39 was marked for |
| 2 | identification.) |
| 3 | A No. I -- |
| 4 | Q Did you know that Sixteen Plus was retaining |
| 5 | Brammer, Chasen, O'Neill CPAs? |
| 6 | A That's -- you mean the -- the day we |
| 7 | established the company? |
| 8 | Q Yes. |
| 9 | A Yeah. I -- I know this. |
| 10 | Q Let me ask the question again. I'm not sure |
| 11 | that you understood. |
| 12 | A No, no, no, no. |
| 13 | Q You see the document at the top says |
| 14 | Brammer, Chasen, O'Neill \& DeLuca, PC? |
| 15 | A I see that. Yes. |
| 16 | Q Okay. And they are certified public |
| 17 | accountants; is that correct? |
| 18 | A What -- say? |
| 19 | Q They are CPAs; is that correct? |
| 20 | A Yeah. These people are CPA. Yes. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And who is O'Neill? |
| 22 | A He was a -- he was an officer, a CPA, and |

worked for the same company.
Q Okay. And is this the same Pablo O'Neill who filed all of the tax returns?

A It's the same as Pablo O'Neill. He was first with the Brammer and Chasen, and after that he split, and he went on his own.

Q So it would be fair to say that Pablo O'Neill was your and United's CPA?

A Yeah. We -- we -- him. He was a CPA. Yes.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. And so now at the
top line, if the court reporter would highlight the whole line where it says name of client?

THE WITNESS: What is that? Name of client, Sixteen Plus Corporation.

BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Okay. You see that?
A Yes.
Q So this was Brammer, Chasen, O'Neill \& DeLuca dealing with their client Sixteen Plus Corporation. Do you see --

A Yes.
Q Okay. And look a little bit farther down
there where it says officers, and could you just read to me who the officers at that company were?

A The officers is, as it reads, my son, Maher F. Yusuf, vice --

Q As president?
A Excuse me. Let me finish, please. The vice president Waheed M. Hamed, and the secretary and treasurer Waheed. I'm out of it completely.

Q Okay. And look farther down there. Do you see who the client's attorney is listed as being?

A Let me see. Well, it says Andrew Simpson.
Q Okay.
A His name is there.
Q Now having reviewed this document does this refresh your recollection at all about the fact that --

A I don't remember.
Q -- at the time the company was being set up the original discussions were with Andy Simpson as the attorney, and had Maher Yusuf as the president?

A I don't remember all what I'm seeing right now.

Q Okay.
A I have some kind of rules on that. When Mohammed Hamed and his son Walley want to pick Plaza West when we decided to split my son want -- want to be on the -- on the board. He say, I'm a member. They say, no, you are not a member. And now when we borrow the money my son should have signed that note, not Mohammed Hamed. And I should be -- I'm -- I'm like a fool. Every year I'm signing as the secretary and treasurer, and looks to me behind my back I am not.

That's Walley doing. I cannot blame the Attorney Simpson. You know, here is -- the island is too small and everybody knows the other. All these lists as officer is fake -- of them is -- I have proof. Mohammed Hamed signed the loan as a president, Waleed Hamed as vice president. I was a secretary and treasurer. My name here is -- is not even around.

Q But you should have been on it; right? And Maher should have been on it?

A I'm supposed to be secretary and treasurer. That's why $I$ sign it. The -- the income tax return
every year. When $I$ was a fool.
Q Okay. So is it your testimony that Andy Simpson and Brammer and Chasen were all wrong, and that both you and Mike should have been on the corporate documents?

A I will say they're wrong. They have misspoke.

Q Okay.
A I say -- I am not saying -- the man is very respectable. I have nothing against him. But if we was with Brammer and Chasen and we was a client of that company, and he was handling it, Walley as Mohammed Hamed is the one who is wrong. Mohammed Hamed, Mr. Hamed, if you have nothing to do with this company, you're name is not even mentioned there, then why you approve a loan and you signed it?

Why my son was kicked out of that meeting because he's not a member? Now Walley put him a member when he need him, and when he don't need him he's not a member. It doesn't work both ways.

Q So what you're saying is -- let me make sure I understand you. You're saying that because Mohammed

Hamed was not an officer of the company at that time he shouldn't have signed the loan?

A No, no, no. I -- I have -- I have -- and concern. For Mohammed Hamed from day one until he died he was the president. Not my son. And Walley knows and approved them in the -- the -- he signed the -- the loan to Maher. If you have nothing to do with the company, why he sign it? And he sign it as the president. Come on.

Q Okay.
A My son was not involved. They kicked him out.

Q So I'm confused, sir. I'm sorry. Are you saying that Maher Hamed should have been an officer of Sixteen Plus Corporation, but he was not?

A I -- see, when he need him he's an officer, when he don't need him he put him out. The bottom line is --

Q What --
A Excuse me. We owe four and a half million dollars to APC. Who submit as the president to APC is Mr. Mohammed Hamed. Not Yousuf. That's the one who
singed the note. Mohammed Hamed. Maher Yusuf, my son, he was not aware of all this. I mean, the -- and again going both ways. And when we want -- they want to make a list, they want two to one. My son was sent to -- to vote with me, and they say no. And then $I$ checked the store, and they'd taken it. It's all right. We'll fight it later.

Q Okay.
A But $I$-- this is -- Maher Fathi Yusuf is never the president. Never.

Q Okay.
A And my wife -- I saw a document show that my wife, Isaiah [ph] Yusuf, is the vice president. I don't want to do anything to put my wife as a president -- as a president or vice president to Mohammed Hamed. Mohammed Hamed is her brother-in-law. Okay? All this is a forgery done by Walley. I cannot blame the lawyer.

Q Okay. But this exhibit that we've looked at came from Brammer, Chasen \& O'Neill, and at least when this memorandum was sent they thought that Maher was going to be the president, didn't they?

A No, no, no, no, no, no. He never -- excuse me. No one -- I don't care what they thought. I care is my son never operate as a president. I care about the promissory note $I$ signed in joint with Walley, vice president, his father as the president. Now I -I should never signed that note there. I -- my name is not there. I'm not an officer.

Q Okay. I'm going to move to finish --
A -- testifying right now.
Q Okay. I'm going to move to question 41 now. During this period before the promissory note and the mortgage were signed, before they were drafted, were there any written negotiations with regard to that note and mortgage that you know of?

A What we have is the -- the -- Mr. Hamed signed the note as a president of the company, his son as a vice president, and myself as -- as the secretary and treasurer. By the way, I don't mean to change the -- the subject. I would love to have a copy of that. The ones you have on the screen.

Q Okay. The question I'm asking --
A My --

Q The question I'm asking you, sir, is prior to the signing of the note and the mortgage, prior to the time the note and the mortgage were even brought up, were there any negotiations about the note and the mortgage that are in writing that you know of? Besides the note and the mortgage themselves are there any writings where you discuss how much would be leant, what the interest rate would be, who would be paid, how it would be paid, anything like that?

A Well, you know, it's everything in the contract. The contract will speak for itself.

Q Okay. But do you know of any writings leading up to the creation of the note and mortgage that discuss the fact that the note and mortgage would be created?

A I don't remember anything from 15 years ago.
Q Okay. Now you said that you're not clear whether Andy Simpson was the lawyer for Sixteen Plus Corporation. Do you know who the lawyer was that drew up the note and the mortgage?

A It -- it could be Attorney Simpson, it could be Carl Dexter. That's the only two that $I$ know in
the Virgin Island.
Q And were you involved at all in the drafting of the note and the mortgage? Were you asked questions about it, did you give information, was your opinion --

A No. I'm involved in the loan only. I signed that loan.

Q Okay. But you were not involved in any of the drafting, any copies that may have been made, or anything like that?

A No. I -- I'm involved in the drafting, but I -- but then my son as the president. And then I know $I$ have another president, and the drafting -- not this one. This is the first time I ever saw. I would draft it, and we give it to Isam, they look at it, they approve it, they release the money.

Q Okay. When the note and the mortgage were being drafted, okay, who was talking to whoever was drafting it? Were you?

A I don't know how to read my name.
Q Okay. Do you know if Mike was involved in the drafting of it?

A Up to now Mike is not involved with anything. Mike involved in only United Corporation. You can ask him, because he's the president of United Corporation.

Q Okay. So aside from -- you said you weren't involved in the drafting, you said that --

A I was involved in the drafting. Yes. But I -- I did not put -- the lawyer is the one who put the language, that he put it in a legal way. You know, I don't know how to do it.

Q Who was talking to the lawyer about the legal language?

A I was talking to the lawyer, Walley was talking to the lawyer.

Q Okay. What lawyer were you talking to about the language in the --

A I believe Carl Dexter. I believe.
Q You believe what?
A I believe it's Carl Dexter. Attorney Dexter.

Q Okay. And you were talking to that attorney about what would be in the note and the mortgage?

A I -- I told him what our agreement is.
Q Okay.
A What $I$ know it -- would be acceptable to Isam. I want to assure him that he's secure, and that's all. I have to give him something.

Q Okay. Good. Now moving onto Question 42. So I'm confused about something, and maybe you can help me; okay? Sixteen Plus had not yet been created by the governor's office, so who actually did the deal with Scotia? Who signed the contract to buy the Diamond Keturah property with Scotia Bank?

A I would assume the three of us. Mohammed Hamed as the president, Waleed Hamed as vice president, and Fathi Yusuf as secretary and treasurer.

Q Secretary and treasurer of what?
A Of Sixteen Plus.
Q Okay. So I'm going to ask you to look at Exhibit 42, which is the agreement for purchase and sale of the property.
(Exhibit 42 was marked for identification.)

A Yes.

Q And I'm going to ask you just to look at the first paragraph, and ask you to look at the fact the agreement is not between the bank and Sixteen Plus but is actually between the Bank of Nova Scotia and Plessen Enterprises?

A And who? Who? Who is that?
Q The agreement is between the Bank of Nova Scotia as the seller and Plessen Enterprises as the buyer?

A We -- we own Plessen Enterprise.
Q Who is we?
A The same thing. Mohammed Hamed as the president, Waleed Hamed as vice president, and I'm the secretary and treasurer.

Q And how are the shares of Plessen Enterprises divided?

A I tell you. The Hamed family is 50 percent, and the Yusuf family is 50 percent.

Q Okay. So the entity that actually entered into the agreement and purchase of the property was not Sixteen Plus, was it? It was actually Plessen?

A What? That's not true. It's -- Sixteen

Plus is now a corporation, is a trade name. After that $I$ believe we move it into a corporation by -- by myself. But first when we go into this we only have Plessens Enterprise, and $I$ believe Plessens is the one buy it and Plessens is the one who put in the 500,000 . The initial down payment.

Q Okay.
A Okay.
Q And so it was Plessen, not Sixteen Plus, that actually was satisfying the requirement of the agreement for the first payment in January or February of 1997; is that correct?

A Sir, I don't know how it's been done. I seen that, but it could be Sixteen Plus. I don't know. Either this Mohammed Hamed as the president and -- and either Sixteen Plus Mohammed Hamed as the president. My son is nothing but shareholder.

Q Okay. I'd ask you to look at Exhibit 43. MR. HARTMANN: For those following along I've moved to paragraph 43. BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. Now I'm looking at a document on

Plessen Enterprises, Inc. letterhead dated February 4, 1997, and it's addressed to Mr. Ralph T. Chan, vice president of the Bank of Nova Scotia. And I'll represent to you also that Walley Hamed says that this letter was sent by him for Plessen Enterprises to Mr. Chan. Could you tell me who Mr. Chan was with regard to this deal?
(Exhibit 43 was marked for identification.)

A I don't -- I don't remember that gentleman.
Q Okay. In the letter it states in the first line after dear Mr. Chan, "Please accept this letter as our serious intent to purchase the Diamond Keturah Property in St. Croix." Okay?

A All right. Okay.
Q Okay. And it says that the purchase price would be 4.55 million dollars. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And it says that there would be an earnest deposit of $\$ 100,000$ US?

A If that's the case, yes.
Q And an additional \$450,000 within three
business days after the signing of the contract. Do you see that?

A Yes. I see it.
Q Okay. And that's signed by walley. And in fact when it closed was the bank paid the original 100,000 and 450,000 more?

A Whatever it is is -- ask Walley this thing. Walley's the one who signed that.

Q Okay. And that money you said was paid by Plessen; is that correct?

A By what?
Q By Plessen? It came out of a Plessen account?

A It came out of the -- Plessen's account.
Q Yes. Okay. I move onto the next question. Two days after that letter in 1997 the articles of incorporation and the bylaws of Sixteen Plus were signed.

A What date?
Q Two days after that letter on February 6, 1997. I'd ask you to look at Exhibit 44. Okay? / /
(Exhibit 44 was marked for
identification.)

A Yeah. I seeing it.
Q Okay. Now in the upper lefthand corner do you see the filing date of that as being February 10th of 1997?

A I --
Q Upper lefthand corner?
A I seeing it. Yes.
Q Okay. So these were the official articles of incorporation of Sixteen Plus Corporation --

A Yes.
Q -- filed before the money was --
A Hello?
Q Excuse me. Were filed in 1997. Now I'll ask you to look down to the second page, and I'll ask you to look at paragraph tenth.

MR. HARTMANN: And I ask the court
reporter to please highlight that?
THE WITNESS: I see something very,
very interesting.
BY MR. HARTMANN:

Q Okay. And do you see who it says are the three incorporators of the corporation?

A Why didn't you ask Walley all these questions? Who put my Maher Fathi Yusuf, and who put Waleed, and where is Mohammed Hamed in there? He signed the loan. Mohammed Hamed signed the loan as the president, Walley sign it as vice president, I sign it as secretary.

Q Well, as you point out --
A I -- excuse me. Let me finish my comment, please. I am not listed there anywhere. That could tell you that Walley is the boss. He do whatever he want, and I've been used like a --

Q Okay. I'll ask you the question --
A Go on and -- me there.
Q I'll ask you the question again. Do you see under paragraph tenth the fact that the original incorporator, the first original incorporator of the corporation, was in fact Maher Yusuf? Do you see it? I'm not asking you if it's true. Do you see it on the document?

A I see it but it's -- this is absolutely
fake.
Q Okay. And down below on the next page, 3 of 4, do you see at the top of that page the fact that Maher Yusuf signed his personal signature?

A I was not aware of it, but then Walley -why he keep bringing me the income tax return to sign? Why he still have me as secretary and treasurer? He --

Q Okay. Now I'm going to --
A -- show you this is -- this is something Walley put together.

Q Okay.
A He had my son sign and -- away of it. Excuse me. Let me continue. Why? My son have -- the last year in -- he had been kicked out. He is not -he is -- he's not a member. He's not a -- an officer. And he have no pull.

Q Sir, it's your testimony that this document was something that was faked by Walley Hamed; is that correct?

A It's been done in my back with no knowledge of mine.

Q Okay. I'm now going to ask you --
MS. PERRELL: Okay. Can I just -- are we -- I think there's some uncertainty about the title names that are on there, and I don't want to -- I'm not trying to -- I just think we're going round about something that doesn't need to be gone around about. So --

MR. HARTMANN: Thank you for your thoughts.

MS. PERRELL: Well, I'm just -- I feel
like what's happening is is now we're having this record that's got all of this issues in there. I mean, $I$ guess I'll clean it $u p$ on redirect, but -MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'll ask you once again not to make running comments in the record. MS. PERRELL: Okay. I just, I feel like --

MR. HARTMANN: Thank you. And I understand why you don't want me to get to the next question.

MS. PERRELL: No. I'm not --
MR. HARTMANN: I really do.

MS. PERRELL: I don't even know what the next question is. I don't even know what the next question is.

MR. HARTMANN: I have great pity for you.

MS. PERRELL: Okay.
BY MR. HARTMANN:
Q Now, Mr. Yusuf, you've said that this is a document that Maher didn't sign, and Walley faked. I'll ask you to look at the next thing below this, which is the acknowledgement by a notary public, and I'm going to read it into the record.

It says, On this 6th day of February, 1997, before me the undersigned notary public, personally appeared Maher Yusuf, known to me for satisfactory proven to be the persons whose name is subscribed to be within the instrument, acknowledged that he executed the same for the purposes therein contained. Now --

A Again, the --
Q Sir, this document, do you see where it says that?

A Again, sir, $I$ repeat over and over to a hundred million over.

Q Okay.
A This is done behind my back, and $I$ have no knowledge of it, and I witnessed my son who was kicked out of that meeting because he's not a director.

Q Okay.
A My -- whether --
Q Mr. Yusuf. Mr. Yusuf.
A -- can you please, please, let me continue. Attorney Holt was present on the singing the lease, and if he had that document why you kick my son? Why you have Mohammed Hamed sign the loan? Why I have to keep signing the income tax return if Walley -- the same Walley bringing it to me, and he know I'm not the secretary. Why he did that to me?

MS. PERRELL: Okay. Can --
THE WITNESS: -- trying to -- he's not
-- excuse me. I want to clear myself.
MS. PERRELL: Mr. Yusuf, I --
THE WITNESS: It's not I'm trying to hide something. It's just that $I$ was not aware of it.

MS. PERRELL: I understand that but you're getting far afield, and $I$ think it's because you're confused, but --

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. I'm going to put an exception on the record right now, and I'm going to say -- Mr. Yusuf, listen to the exception that I'm making. Mr. Yusuf is talking about a meeting with regard to the West lease, which happened decades after this, had nothing to do with Sixteen Plus. It was a transaction with Plessen and has nothing to do with this case. I'm going to ask him to stop referring to that meeting as though it's relevant to this thing. I'm asking the court reporter to note an exception, and I'm going to state to Counsel that $I$ will ask the court to delete this type of testimony from the record because it's taking up my time with totally unresponsive answers. Okay?

MS. PERRELL: That is --
MR. HARTMANN: That's the end of the exception, and I'm not going to continue my questioning.

MS. PERRELL: May I ask a question? I
think $I$ can clarify the confusion if we just --
MR. HARTMANN: No. I don't want you to
testify, Charlotte.
MS. PERRELL: I'm not trying to
testify.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MS. PERRELL: I'm asking for a break.
MR. HARTMANN: Then don't talk.
MS. PERRELL: I'm asking for a break so
I can speak to my client --
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MS. PERRELL: because $I$ think we have a confusion, and that is leading to all of this unnecessary discussion, and that's what I'm trying to say.

MR. HARTMANN: Yeah. But I'll tell you what the confusion is. The confusion is he's testified that Maher had nothing to do with this company. Then when confronted with the fact that Maher was the primary incorporator listed on the CPA's records and signed as the only notarized individual in the formation of the company he started spouting
nonsense about a meeting that happened 20 years later in another case having nothing to do with this.

So when we go off the record you talk to him about that, because that's what I'll be putting in the motion to the judge; okay?

MS. PERRELL: Okay. I'm not --
MR. HARTMANN: We can go off the record now.

MS. PERRELL: We'll take a moment.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video record. The time is 3:23 p.m.
(Off the record.)
MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MS. PERRELL: They lost our signal.
MR. HARTMANN: Yes. If you'd let me talk, please?

MS. PERRELL: Sure.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Apparently
there's been a power failure on St. Croix. Joel's office has lost power and they've gone off grid, so they've communicated with me otherwise and said they can't participate. I assume that other people are
being affected by the same thing. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to invoke the stipulation to continue the deposition until a point in the future. I'm also giving you notice that I'm going to go and ask for an order of assistance from the court and have this be a supervised deposition. I'm also going to ask for guidance with regard to the interference by use of the fifth amendment and the changing around of which questions can and can't be asked. So if you'd like to also make a comment for the record, that's my reason for continuing.

MS. PERRELL: Okay. I didn't realize
that there was a power failure. I know that Stefan just called and indicated when they moved the camera somehow they lost the connection, so it's probably the power failure on their side as well. In any event, that's fine if we want to continue for another day. We're happy to do that.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay. We'll continue after those motions are decided based on the stipulation, and before that I'll make those motions that I said.

MS. PERRELL: What I was going to suggest is $I$ really don't think, Carl, that we are as far apart as perhaps you think that we are, and I think it would make sense for us to have a conversation about that to do what we can to see if we can, you know, coordinate so that you can get your deposition. I am not trying to be difficult and obstreperous on this.

MR. HARTMANN: And I will state for the record that the parties, and especially their counsel, have always been able to work out procedural problems.

MS. PERRELL: Exactly.
MR. HARTMANN: I'm more than willing to meet with you prior to the decision on those motions, or even after them, to restructure how this is done.

MS. PERRELL: Okay. That's fine, because $I$ think that that would make life easier for everyone involved.

MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
MS. PERRELL: All right.
MR. HARTMANN: And thank you very much to the court reporter and to the videographer. And if

I could ask the court reporter and the videographer if I could order a partial video and a partial transcript of what's been done so far? That can be supplied electronically. And because the deposition has not been concluded it's not official and there's no requirement for read and sign.

THE REPORTER: No. But a ten day standard delivery will be all right for you still?

MR. HARTMANN: Standard delivery is fine. Is that okay with you, Ben?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Thank you so much. And thank you --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the
video record. The time is 3:38 p.m.
(Signature waived.)
(Whereupon, at 3:38 p.m., the
proceeding was concluded.)
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| 136:8 | period 31:8 | 115:6,12 | pg 9:3 |
| path 37:7 | 42:1,13 51:1,8 | 121:21 123:12 | ph 168:13 |
| patiently 13:14 | 55:11 62:6 | 123:16 127:5,9 | philipsburg |
| pay 31:10,12 | 79:22 80:12 | 127:13 129:5,9 | 33:8 |
| 63:2 95:8 | 152:12 169:11 | 145:19 149:16 | physical 67:7 |
| 99:18,19,19 | perjury 21:2 | 149:19 150:13 | 68:10 |
| 121:10,15 | 57:6 | 150:19 151:2,7 | physically |
| 126:9 149:6 | permission | 151:11,15 | 130:20 134:3 |
| payable 61:13 | 122:6 | 152:14 181:2 | pick 65:12 |
| payment 79:20 | permitted 12:9 | 181:10,16,21 | 165:3 |
| 79:21 104:9 |  | 182:1,6 183:17 |  |


| picked 48:5 | 91:4 92:13 | 174:21 175:9 | 170:18 173:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pieces 59:22 | 98:7 | 176:1,5 177:10 | 173:16 174:3 |
| pity 182:4 | please 10:13 | 177:12,12 | 174:21 175:1,9 |
| place 81:14 | 12:18 13:14 | 184:10 | 175:14,16 |
| 85:9 143:2 | 17:19 19:21 | plessen's | 177:17 178:11 |
| 148:3 | 21:9 29:5,10 | 177:14 | 184:9 |
| placed 75:5 | 33:1 34:12 | plessens 175:4 | plus's 101:19 |
| places 31:17 | 37:16 47:21 | 175:4,5 | 161:6 |
| 88:21 | 50:13 53:22 | plots 140:11 | po $17: 9$ |
| placing 35:9 | 54:4 55:15 | plus 1:6,13,16 | point 34:7 39:6 |
| plaintiff 1:7,17 | 56:12,18 57:14 | 2:3,9,12 4:10 | 50:4 83:2 |
| 2:1,7,13 6:18 | 57:17 58:11 | 7:21 8:10,18 | 114:9 151:16 |
| 63:16 | 59:8,17 60:22 | 10:17 20:6,13 | 179:9 187:3 |
| plane 131:3,6,8 | 63:11 64:11 | 20:16 55:22 | pointed 14:13 |
| 131:15 | 65:11,16 67:15 | 57:11 58:2 | pointing 68:4 |
| play 99:4 | 70:12 74:16 | 59:9,18 60:3 | porter 191:2,15 |
| 111:21 112:2,5 | 75:3,16 83:11 | 61:6,20 62:12 | posed 26:19 |
| played 125:13 | 84:9 92:5 | 65:11 71:11 | position 39:17 |
| 132:15 | 97:22 98:11 | 90:9 91:20 | possible 32:3 |
| plaza 7:13 27:7 | 99:6 101:5,5 | 92:2,16 94:15 | post 41:13,16 |
| 30:17 73:2 | 102:4 103:3,4 | 95:8,8 96:17 | 42:4 44:11 |
| 74:14 77:21 | 105:13 118:18 | 97:15 98:20 | 45:16 82:22 |
| 78:5,11 96:3 | 121:10 125:12 | 100:19 102:8 | power 128:12 |
| 104:1 116:12 | 130:14 132:14 | 103:9 104:10 | 128:15 186:19 |
| 117:9 118:2,2 | 134:14 136:16 | 105:2,10,17 | 186:20 187:13 |
| 118:12,15,21 | 137:12 141:21 | 107:21 109:5 | 187:16 |
| 124:10,12,17 | 142:20 147:11 | 110:14 113:3 | practice 152:7 |
| 124:21 133:17 | 154:19 159:22 | 153:15 154:13 | pre 41:20 |
| 138:22 139:1,2 | 164:6 176:12 | 154:14 155:1,5 | pregnant 145:7 |
| 165:3 | 178:19 179:11 | 155:15 157:20 | 145:13 |
| plea 83:17,22 | 183:10,10 | 158:22 159:3 | preparation |
| 84:3,14,17,19 | 186:16 | 159:20 160:3 | 102:20 103:5 |
| 84:20 85:19 | pledge 156:10 | 161:12,20 | prepared 63:17 |
| 87:2,7,9 88:7 | plessen 8:7 | 162:4 163:14 | 191:3 |
| 88:15 89:1,20 | 174:5,8,10,15 | 163:19 167:15 |  |


| preparing | presidents | proceeding 3:5 | provide 63:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:9 | 157:17 | 11:19 12:8 | 63:20 126:16 |
| presence 12:3 | pretty 132:7 | 189:18 191:4 | 128:19 |
| present 6:11 | previous 52:17 | proceedings | provided 16:21 |
| 10:11 58:4 | previously 35:6 | 190:3,5,6,9 | 100:21 |
| 183:11 | 122:8 130:5 | 191:6 | proximity |
| presented | price 176:16 | produce 31:11 | 44:16 45:1 |
| 60:10 | primary | produced 12:7 | public 3:7 |
| presently | 185:20 | professional | 162:16 182:11 |
| 158:11 | prior 14:20 | 11:2 | 182:14 190:18 |
| preserved | 28:13 39:10 | proffered 14:6 | pull 23:8 |
| 13:21 | 85:22 125:10 | 15:4,6 | 180:17 |
| preserving | 139:14 170:1,2 | profit 31:10 | purchase 8:5 |
| 40:8 | 188:14 190:5 | promise 144:5 | 128:20 152:12 |
| president 65:7 | private 117:3 | promissory | 153:5 173:18 |
| 65:9,10 66:21 | 118:4 122:16 | 169:4,11 | 174:20 176:13 |
| 66:21,22 67:3 | privately 119:2 | prompt 11:1 | 176:16 |
| 67:8,9 68:19 | privilege 31:20 | proof 100:15 | purchased 95:6 |
| 69:2,2 74:7 | 35:13 49:15 | 165:16 | 140:16 142:17 |
| 155:12,17,18 | 51:13 52:3,14 | properties | purchases |
| 155:19,20,22 | 52:16 54:12 | 144:9 147:17 | 79:20 |
| 156:1 157:4,5 | 123:18 | 147:17 | purpose 16:19 |
| 157:14,16,17 | probably | property 8:6 | 50:5 71:10 |
| 164:5,7,20 | 187:15 | 85:10 139:16 | purposes |
| 165:16,17 | problem 37:2 | 140:17 142:16 | 182:18 |
| 167:5,9,21 | 41:4 87:21 | 142:19 143:1,3 | put 16:3 33:10 |
| 168:10,13,15 | 113:18 151:2 | 143:7,12,15,20 | 37:13 38:10 |
| 168:15,15,22 | problems 39:14 | 144:5 147:22 | 55:14 65:16 |
| 169:3,5,5,16,17 | 188:11 | 148:9,10 | 66:15,21 69:1 |
| 171:12,13 | procedural | 149:13 152:13 | 81:14 82:19 |
| 172:3 173:13 | 12:10 188:11 | 173:11,19 | 83:8 88:12,13 |
| 173:14 174:13 | procedurally | 174:20 176:14 | 90:4 95:11 |
| 174:13 175:15 | 39:15 | prove 79:19,21 | 100:16 112:5 |
| 175:17 176:3 | proceed 13:7 | proven 182:16 | 113:1,2 130:7 |
| 179:7,7 | 45:15 |  | 138:18 139:18 |


| 141:13 144:3 | 94:4 100:1 | 38:11,12,17 | raising 26:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146:3,4,6,6,15 | 109:11,15 | 39:7,9,20 40:1 | ralph 176:2 |
| 146:17 159:6 | 110:3,6,15 | 40:1,5,16,18 | rate 170:8 |
| 166:18 167:17 | 111:20 112:7,8 | 41:1,2,5,8,12 | rather 42:2 |
| 168:14 172:8,8 | 112:15 113:19 | 41:14 42:1,7,7 | read 16:5 17:17 |
| 172:9 175:5 | 114:10,14,15 | 42:10,16,17 | 24:20 25:10 |
| 179:4,4 180:11 | 115:1,4,5,13,15 | 43:6,9,22 44:2 | 32:22 36:7 |
| 184:4 | 116:3,3 117:2 | 44:4,6,10,14,17 | 70:6 125:10 |
| puts 97:1 | 117:5 122:20 | 46:1,10,14 | 132:1,10,12 |
| putting 130:8,9 | 123:20 124:1 | 60:9 65:11 | 140:4 141:3 |
| 156:8 186:4 | 130:14,17 | 83:1,20 88:1 | 164:1 171:20 |
| q | 131:20 132:2,5 | 109:16 112:11 | 182:12 189:6 |
| qualified 190:7 | 132:6,10,13 | 112:12,18 | reading 68:17 |
| question 14:14 | 134:13 139:6 | 113:15 114:16 | reads 164:3 |
| 14:14,19 15:4 | 141:6,7,8 | 114:21 115:1 | ready 81:9 |
| 15:5,6,13 24:3 | 142:20 148:7 | 115:22 123:19 | 146:17,18 |
| 24:10,20 25:12 | 149:9 151:11 | 131:22 136:2 | real 27:9 30:2 |
| 25:13,18,20 | 151:17 152:10 | 151:3 171:4 | 60:19 126:18 |
| 26:1,19,19,22 | 152:22 156:19 | 179:4 187:9 | 126:21 127:2 |
| 27:1 30:22 | 157:7,21 | quick 42:6 | 127:10,15,20 |
| 34:12 35:7 | 159:22 161:17 | 82:11 111:7 | 128:20 129:2,5 |
| 38:13 41:22 | 162:10 169:10 | 114:12 131:18 | 129:17,18 |
| 42:9 43:4,15 | 169:21 170:1 | quickly 55:15 | 131:18 143:4 |
| 43:19 45:5 | 173:6 177:15 | quit 74:17 | 144:12,13 |
| 47:13 48:20 | 179:14,16 | r | realize 62:4 |
| 49:15,20 50:7 | 181:20 182:2,3 $184: 22$ | r 4:1 5:1 6:1 | 187:12 |
| 50:10,11 53:9 | 184:22 ${ }_{\text {questioning }}$ | 9:16,16,16,16 | 74:6 78:1 80:6 |
| 59:9,17 60:13 | questioning <br> 41:12 46:20 | 10:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 678: 180: 6 \\ & 80: 21 \quad 104: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 60:21 66:22 | $100: 1,2 \text { 184:21 }$ | raid 73:5 | 118:15 120:19 |
| 67:13,15 71:19 | questions $9: 8$ | raided 73:2 | $122: 10,18$ |
| 74:5 75:3,16 | $9: 12 \text { 13:18,21 }$ | raise $12: 18$ | 129:22 145:10 |
| $78: 979: 8$ 80:14 81:22 | $14: 7,8,12$ | 37:3 64:8 | $145: 10 \text { 146:5,8 }$ |
| 80:14 81:22 | $15: 10,1526: 21$ | 103:3 137:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 145: 10140: 5,8 \\ & 150: 20 \text { 181:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84: 986: 6,10 \\ & 87: 14,2089: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $37: 19 \text { 38:2,4 }$ | raised 69:18 | 188:2 |

[reason - report]

| reason 37:14 | record 10:3,13 | refuse 79:1 | 146:11 156:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85:22 86:18 | 11:18 12:5 | refused 9:12 | 159:11 160:7 |
| 87:17 113:20 | 13:13 14:20 | 26:1 79:4,10 | 160:14 164:17 |
| 140:21 141:1 | 50:5,20 82:14 | 79:12 116:21 | 164:21 170:16 |
| 142:5 187:11 | 82:16,17,19 | regard 13:18 | 176:10 |
| recall 79:14,15 | 104:9 111:12 | 55:6,8 93:18 | remote 3:5 |
| 79:16 80:22 | 111:14,16,19 | 99:11 169:13 | remotely 10:12 |
| 81:1 123:1 | 112:6 115:10 | 176:7 184:8 | 12:1,3 |
| 132:10 133:3 | 115:11 120:4 | 187:7 | removal 87:9 |
| 140:15 148:14 | 123:14 125:13 | regarding | 88:6 92:14 |
| 155:9 161:4,7 | 131:19 132:15 | 154:11 | remove 31:8 |
| 161:11 | 141:6 181:12 | regardless | removed 30:17 |
| receipt 31:12 | 181:15 182:12 | 109:12 | 83:19,21 84:21 |
| 77:2 | 184:5,15 186:3 | rejecting 78:22 | 85:18 87:3,5,6 |
| receipts 22:6 | 186:7,11,12 | relate 39:2 | 87:10 88:17,19 |
| 22:18,21 23:4 | 187:11 188:10 | 41:13,15 | 88:21,21 |
| 23:18,22 27:20 | 189:15 190:9 | 123:19 137:21 | renter 31:6 |
| 28:4,4,12 | 191:5 | related 161:3 | repeat $29: 9,17$ |
| 30:17 75:1 | recorded 12:12 | 190:11 191:7 | 29:19 34:12 |
| 150:9 | 190:6 | relates 25:15 | 59:17 60:21 |
| receive 31:11 | recording 12:7 | 137:6 | 67:14 75:3,3 |
| 33:11 149:5 | 190:8 191:4 | relating 13:21 | 75:16 84:8 |
| 152:16,16,19 | records 22:4 | 37:5 38:22 | 111:4 142:20 |
| 152:20 | 28:6 72:7 | 39:11 40:2 | 183:1 |
| received 17:13 | 104:5,8 185:21 | 42:2 112:9 | repeated 152:1 |
| 17:16,17 23:1 | recover 144:2 | 161:9 | repeatedly |
| 37:22 66:1 | redirect 115:16 | relative 190:13 | 112:17 114:5 |
| 69:13 101:6,6 | 181:13 | 191:10 | repeating |
| 117:22 136:10 | reduced 190:7 | release 171:16 | 141:6 |
| recognize | referring | relevant 184:12 | rephrase 48:20 |
| 16:20 90:9 | 184:11 | remember | report 8:21 9:5 |
| 91:6 96:15 | reflected 15:3 | 62:20 76:6 | 19:16 22:5 |
| 135:8,10 136:3 | 18:5 23:1 | 78:22 79:3,7,9 | 63:16 65:19,22 |
| recollection | refresh 164:15 | 85:17 86:16 | 66:6 69:6 73:1 |
| 152:5 164:15 |  | 89:14 96:20,22 | 97:6,7,12,14 |


| $98: 4,899: 10$ | representations | restructure | rid $11: 6$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $99: 12100: 3$ | 66:12 | $188: 15$ | riddled $37: 19$ |
| $101: 20,21$ | represented | result $83: 22$ | ridiculous |
| reported $3: 7$ | $71: 9$ | $85: 1987: 7$ | $109: 10114: 20$ |
| $18: 2,18,20$ | representing | $88: 15$ | right $12: 18$ |
| $19: 1422: 18,19$ | $10: 8156: 16$ | retail $27: 10$ | $13: 2019: 12$ |
| $26: 427: 19$ | request $9: 3$ | retaining $162: 4$ | $25: 727: 14$ |
| $59: 1060: 3$ | $86: 9,15115: 21$ | return $8: 11,17$ | $32: 16,2238: 15$ |
| $81: 16108: 2$ | $122: 3161: 1$ | $9: 418: 520: 7$ | $47: 1951: 19$ |
| reporter $10: 9$ | requested $83: 3$ | $21: 227: 958: 3$ | $54: 656: 10$ |
| $10: 1311: 16,18$ | $125: 14132: 16$ | $59: 1060: 3$ | $61: 1170: 7$ |
| $13: 616: 2$ | requests $80: 18$ | $61: 263: 13$ | $80: 791: 15$ |
| $19: 2124: 20$ | required $12: 16$ | $83: 390: 10$ | $93: 1197: 19$ |
| $26: 1527: 11$ | $87: 11,13$ | $91: 11,1294: 18$ | $101: 15121: 18$ |
| $29: 7,8,13,17,21$ | requirement | $95: 22105: 3,17$ | $133: 22136: 14$ |
| $40: 1297: 4$ | $175: 10189: 6$ | $115: 22165: 22$ | $137: 17148: 11$ |
| $111: 18125: 10$ | requirements | $180: 6183: 14$ | $153: 3157: 9$ |
| $125: 12,13$ | $76: 10$ | returning $78: 9$ | $164: 21165: 19$ |
| $132: 14,15$ | respect $140: 11$ | $116: 3$ | $168: 7169: 9$ |
| $156: 5163: 11$ | respectable | returns $18: 3$ | $176: 15184: 5$ |
| $178: 19184: 13$ | $166: 10$ | $22: 6,734: 21$ | $188: 20189: 8$ |
| $188: 22189: 1,7$ | respond $40: 11$ | $64: 2093: 18$ | righthand $17: 5$ |
| reporting | responding | $163: 3$ | $56: 6,10$ |
| $28: 1434: 21$ | $113: 19$ | revenue $56: 3$ | road $33: 7,9$ |
| $58: 382: 5$ | response $14: 6$ | $63: 2290: 16$ | rolling $27: 14$ |
| $144: 22$ | $85: 2286: 8,18$ | $91: 6$ | $151: 1$ |
| reports $18: 1$ | $86: 1987: 4$ | review $41: 10$ | roughly $14: 13$ |
| $27: 1880: 2$ | $112: 21125: 10$ | $42: 644: 1,10$ | $147: 16$ |
| $96: 16$ | $161: 1$ | $81: 4$ | round $181: 5$ |
| represent $19: 1$ | responsible | reviewed $80: 16$ | route $109: 12$ |
| $63: 15155: 2$ | $80: 8$ | $80: 17,1981: 2$ | row $27: 17,18$ |
| $160: 22176: 4$ | responsive | $164: 14$ | rule $38: 295: 12$ |
| representation | $112: 10$ | reviewing $28: 5$ | $115: 2$ |
| $34: 1844: 9$ | rest $18: 838: 4$ | $82: 5$ | rules $12: 11$ |
| $98: 19$ |  |  | $14: 3165: 2$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| ruling 24:11,14 | santo 31:11 | 164:11 176:4 | 165:9,17,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| run 78:17 79:2 | satisfactory | 176:16,19 | 169:17 173:14 |
| 156:10,12 | 182:15 | 179:1 182:13 | 173:15 174:14 |
| 157:1 | satisfying | 182:21 | 179:8 180:7 |
| running 181:15 | 175:10 | schedule 63:21 | 183:16 |
| S | saw 38:1 | schedules 21:3 | section 99:7 |
| $15: 16: 1$ | 137:20 168:12 | scotia 139:15 | 121:9 |
| 8:1 9:1,16 10:1 | 171:14 | 140:13,16 | secure 173:4 |
| 135:13,13 | saying 26:17 | 142:14,17,18 | security 8:19 |
| safe 55:7 | 44:16 48:7 | 142:22 143:16 | 16:17,21 17:2 |
| saint 33:10 | 49:1,6 87:10 | 150:2 161:5,7 | 17:3,13,22 |
| 35:11 47:16,19 | 97:20 99:16 | 161:11 173:10 | 18:4 34:20 |
| 48:1,10 49:2 | 112:19 113:20 | 173:11 174:4,8 | 35:5 |
| 54:2,8 57:5 | 113:22 117:20 | 176:3 | see 14:15 16:4 |
| 64:2,3 65:3 | 118:1 127:10 | screen 11:1,10 | 16:4,7,9 17:6,7 |
| 96:3 101:10 | 155:16 166:9 | 16:3 57:17 | 17:9,19 18:8 |
| 110:13 113:1 | 166:21,22 | 83:10 86:22 | 18:15 19:8,9 |
| 119:20,22 | 167:14 | 141:14 169:20 | 20:11 22:1,12 |
| 122:15 125:2,4 | says 11:1 18:4 | scroll 99:6 | 22:14 23:2,10 |
| 127:19,20 | 21:1 $22: 2$ | 105:21 106:7 | 23:11,14,16 |
| 129:4,13,13 | 23:17 24:10 | 107:15 121:2 | 24:6 26:10,11 |
| 130:3,16 131:3 | 28:3 32:13,17 | 141:20 | 27:20 28:7,8 |
| 131:14 133:18 | 33:7 47:4 54:7 | se 69:18 | 28:14,18 32:5 |
| 148:1,4 153:15 | 56:10 58:2,17 | seated 10:20 | 32:9,18 33:18 |
| 154:8 | 67:5,20 69:22 | second 13:14 | 35:2,3,3,21,22 |
| salaried 103:21 | 70:10,18 90:18 | 50:12 72:2 | 36:5,6,11,12 |
| sale 7:15 8:5 | 91:15 92:17,21 | 82:14 97:10 | 38:1 44:14 |
| 139:16,22 | 93:14 97:5,11 | 101:21 111:15 | 47:4 51:3,4,9 |
| 140:5,14 | 102:19 108:1 | 139:19 156:5 | 51:19 52:6,8 |
| 141:11 142:1 | 116:4 121:5,10 | 178:16 | 56:4,9,13 |
| 142:12,13,22 | 121:13 135:14 | secretary 20:12 | 57:18 58:5 |
| 143:7 147:21 | 140:12 142:11 | 20:13,16 57:11 | 59:4,9,13 60:3 |
| 148:3 173:19 | 144:14,17,19 | 67:10 91:18 | 60:5 61:16 |
| sales 7:19 22:6 | 146:14 162:13 | 92:1 106:18,19 | 64:8,8,11 66:2 |
|  | 163:12 164:1 | 157:6 164:7 | 67:20,22 68:5 |


| $68: 669: 12,14$ | seemed $42: 6$ | $168: 4,21176: 5$ | $87: 2,788: 8,16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $69: 18,2170: 1$ | $44: 4$ | sentence | $89: 2,2091: 4$ |
| $70: 9,1771: 2,6$ | seems $145: 21$ | $141: 22151: 5$ | $92: 1398: 7$ |
| $78: 385: 17$ | seen $18: 1156: 8$ | $156: 6,8$ | seven $40: 15$ |
| 86:20 90:14 | $70: 695: 18$ | separate | $152: 19158: 12$ |
| $93: 15,1697: 10$ | $135: 19136: 7$ | $114: 16$ | $158: 14,16$ |
| 97:19 98:2,14 | $136: 12,13$ | separately | seventh $97: 5$ |
| 99:14 100:16 | $139: 10161: 8$ | $105: 9$ | several $79: 9$ |
| $102: 10,12,21$ | $175: 14$ | september | $152: 1160: 10$ |
| $103: 8104: 14$ | selected $41: 8$ | $38: 2097: 20$ | shape $80: 5$ |
| $105: 17106: 2,5$ | $41: 1242: 10$ | $98: 5106: 4$ | share $63: 14$ |
| $119: 9,16121: 9$ | selectively | $116: 13137: 7$ | $64: 6158: 17$ |
| $121: 13123: 5$ | $109: 17$ | $137: 18139: 9$ | shareholder |
| $135: 1,21137: 2$ | self $13: 2058: 19$ | $139: 10,11,13$ | $61: 22,2262: 6$ |
| $137: 8,13,14$ | $58: 20$ | $139: 14140: 5$ | $62: 970: 4,14$ |
| $142: 14149: 5$ | sell $144: 4$ | $140: 16141: 2$ | $70: 1471: 14,17$ |
| $155: 16,17$ | seller $174: 8$ | $152: 18161: 9$ | $75: 11,21100: 6$ |
| $162: 13,15$ | sells $146: 16$ | sequence $43: 12$ | $100: 9104: 5$ |
| $163: 16,20$ | send $43: 16,17$ | $43: 14$ | $108: 17,18$ |
| $164: 10,11$ | $79: 18122: 7$ | series $15: 10$ | $112: 19154: 12$ |
| $167: 16176: 17$ | $125: 3126: 6$ | $40: 2043: 22$ | $156: 9157: 19$ |
| $177: 2,3178: 5$ | $129: 21$ | $45: 2$ | $158: 3,4,6,8,9$ |
| $178: 20179: 1$ | sending $17: 1$ | serious $176: 13$ | $175: 17$ |
| $179: 16,19,20$ | $47: 1648: 9$ | set $11: 122: 8$ | shareholder's |
| $179: 22180: 3$ | sense $188: 4$ | $33: 1964: 4$ | $63: 1469: 19,22$ |
| $182: 21188: 5$ | sent $33: 3,5,6,7$ | $154: 17155: 11$ | $99: 12,13$ |
| seeing $28: 15,20$ | $38: 940: 21$ | $156: 18157: 8$ | shareholders |
| $71: 490: 20$ | $42: 1547: 17,19$ | $159: 9160: 2$ | $61: 18,1962: 8$ |
| $98: 399: 14$ | $47: 2096: 3$ | $164: 18$ | $63: 6,2070: 10$ |
| $105: 19116: 11$ | $102: 18116: 12$ | setting $154: 14$ | $70: 1971: 11,15$ |
| $121: 14142: 3$ | $117: 14118: 2$ | $155: 5,7159: 19$ | $93: 1494: 20,20$ |
| $142: 15164: 21$ | $129: 20133: 12$ | settings $11: 2$ | $94: 21108: 13$ |
| $178: 3,9$ | $136: 18,22$ | settled $89: 21,22$ | $112: 18156: 10$ |
| seem $39: 10$ | $147: 16154: 5$ | settlement | shares $64: 7$ |
| $44: 15$ | $154: 11155: 3$ | $83: 18,2285: 20$ | $76: 2,4146: 16$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[shares - sixteen]

| 158:12,15,22 | 59:2 114:6 | 60:4,11 66:19 | singed 168:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
Rule 30
(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which: (A) to review the transcript or recording; and (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule $30(f)(1)$ whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30 -day period.
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